# **IOWA STATE UNIVERSITY Digital Repository**

[Retrospective Theses and Dissertations](https://lib.dr.iastate.edu/rtd?utm_source=lib.dr.iastate.edu%2Frtd%2F14591&utm_medium=PDF&utm_campaign=PDFCoverPages)

[Iowa State University Capstones, Theses and](https://lib.dr.iastate.edu/theses?utm_source=lib.dr.iastate.edu%2Frtd%2F14591&utm_medium=PDF&utm_campaign=PDFCoverPages) **[Dissertations](https://lib.dr.iastate.edu/theses?utm_source=lib.dr.iastate.edu%2Frtd%2F14591&utm_medium=PDF&utm_campaign=PDFCoverPages)** 

1941

# Part I. Base exchange equations applied to Iowa soils. Part II. Acid oxidation method for determining soil carbon

Clyde Leslie Ogg *Iowa State College*

Follow this and additional works at: [https://lib.dr.iastate.edu/rtd](https://lib.dr.iastate.edu/rtd?utm_source=lib.dr.iastate.edu%2Frtd%2F14591&utm_medium=PDF&utm_campaign=PDFCoverPages) Part of the [Agriculture Commons](http://network.bepress.com/hgg/discipline/1076?utm_source=lib.dr.iastate.edu%2Frtd%2F14591&utm_medium=PDF&utm_campaign=PDFCoverPages), and the [Soil Science Commons](http://network.bepress.com/hgg/discipline/163?utm_source=lib.dr.iastate.edu%2Frtd%2F14591&utm_medium=PDF&utm_campaign=PDFCoverPages)

# Recommended Citation

Ogg, Clyde Leslie, "Part I. Base exchange equations applied to Iowa soils. Part II. Acid oxidation method for determining soil carbon" (1941). *Retrospective Theses and Dissertations*. 14591. [https://lib.dr.iastate.edu/rtd/14591](https://lib.dr.iastate.edu/rtd/14591?utm_source=lib.dr.iastate.edu%2Frtd%2F14591&utm_medium=PDF&utm_campaign=PDFCoverPages)

This Dissertation is brought to you for free and open access by the Iowa State University Capstones, Theses and Dissertations at Iowa State University Digital Repository. It has been accepted for inclusion in Retrospective Theses and Dissertations by an authorized administrator of Iowa State University Digital Repository. For more information, please contact [digirep@iastate.edu](mailto:digirep@iastate.edu).



# **NOTE TO USERS**

This reproduction is the best copy available.



#### PART I: BASE EXCHANGE EQUATIONS APPLIED TO IOWA SOILS

ACID OXIDATION METHOD FOR PART II: DETERMINING SOIL CARBON

pд

Clyde L. Ogg

# A Thesis Submitted to the Graduate Faculty for the Degree of

DOCTOR OF PHILOSOPHY

Major Subject: Soil Chemistry



Approved:

Signature was redacted for privacy.

# In Charge of Major Work

Signature was redacted for privacy.

Head of Major Department

Signature was redacted for privacy.

Dean of Graduate College

Iowa State College

1941

# UMI Number: DP13405

# INFORMATION TO USERS

The quality of this reproduction is dependent upon the quality of the copy submitted. Broken or indistinct print, colored or poor quality illustrations and photographs, print bleed-through, substandard margins, and improper alignment can adversely affect reproduction.

In the unlikely event that the author did not send a complete manuscript and there are missing pages, these will be noted. Also, if unauthorized copyright material had to be removed, a note will indicate the deletion.



# UMI Microform DP13405

Copyright 2005 by ProQuest Information and Learning Company. All rights reserved. This microform edition is protected against unauthorized copying under Title 17, United States Code.

> ProQuest Information and Learning Company 300 North Zeeb Road P.O. Box 1346 Ann Arbor, Ml 48106-1346

**S593** 

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

 $-2-$ 

# PART I: BASE EXCHANGE EQUATIONS APPLIED<br>TO IOWA SOILS

Page



**T7364** 



 $\frac{1}{2}$  $\pm$   $\downarrow$ 

# PART II: ACID OXIDATION METHOD FOR DETERMINING SOIL CARBON



#### **I. IMTHODUCTION**

Numerous studies have been made on the different phases of base exchange in soils. The methods for determining exchangeable bases, exchangeable H<sup>+</sup> and exchange capacity have been many and varied.

Theories concerning the nature of base exchange have undergone several changes since Way's discovery of the phenomenon in 1862. The main point in contention has been whether the exchangeable bases are adsorbed by the soil particles or held by chemical bonds or whether both attractive forces play a part in base exchange. The more recent studies have been in favor of the theory that **the** reaction is chemical in nature, particularly for the inorganic fraction of the soil.

A mmbar of equations have been derived which were Intended to express the mathematical relations between the exchange capacity, the amount of bases displaced and the amount of displacing salt. An equation proposed by Vageler and Woltersdorf (29) and a similar equation derived by Gapon (11) have been found to be satisfactory expressions of base exchange phenomena in some soils. Greene (14) pointed out that the supposed constants in Vageler's equation varied with a change in relative

-5-

amounts of soil and water but that a ratio of one part soil to five of water was suitable for most soils.

The purpose of this study was to test both Vageler's and Gapon's equations on some Iowa soils and to determine the effect of varying the soil-water ratio on the validity of Vageler's equation.

No attempt was made to arrive at a new method for datarmining exchangeable bases or base **exchange capacity**  nor to test various known methods. The common method of leaching with ammonium acetate was used since this salt produced **a** solution whose pH **approached neutrality**  It was not intended to study the nature of the base exchange material nor the nature of the reaction except as indicated by the suceeaa or failure **of the equations used.** 

m, Q m

### II. HISTORICAL

In keeping with the physical and chemical concepts of base exchange, two types of equations have been developed. Equations for adsorption on the surfaces of solids, such as Langmuir's (18) and Freundlich's (8), represent the physical point of view. The chemical concept **of** base exchange has led to the development of equations based on the law of mass action.

Langmuir's equation for the adsorption of gases on solids, as given by Getman and Daniels  $(13)$ , is  $y = \frac{a \cdot b \cdot p}{1 + ap}$ in which y is the weight of material adsorbed per unit surface of adsorbing material, a and b are constants, and p is the pressure of the gas. Concentration, c, may be used in place of p, and y may be expressed as the weight of adsorbed material per unit weight of adsorbent. Gotman and Daniels call attention to the fact that Langmuir's equation holds well for low pressures but decreases in accuracy as the pressure is increased.

By plotting the amount of base adsorbed by a soil against the amount of base added in solution, Vageler (29) obtained a hyperbolic curve which was expressed by the formula,  $y = \frac{S \cdot C \cdot x}{1 + Cx}$ . By inverting this equation he obtained  $\frac{1}{9} = \frac{1}{5} + \frac{1}{50}(\frac{1}{x})$ , a straight line equation in

**7** 

which y is the number of milliequivalents of base adsorbed p®r ualt weight **of aoil,** S and C are **constants, and** x **is**  the number of milliequivalents of displacing ion added per unit weight **of** soil\* The constant, S, **represents the**  total base exchange capacity of the soil. Vageler stated that he arrived at this equation independently **of Langmuir\*a**  equation, but he pointed **out** the similarity **between the**  two equations•

Langmuir's (18) equation was developed for the adsorption of gases on solids} it was applied **only to** oases in which a monomolecular film was formed and the gas was being adsorbed on a surface free from foreign material. The equation has been found to be very **satlafaetory wlien**  these oonditiona exist. Adsorption **In** soils takes **place**  under markedly different conditions since it **is an** exchange adsorption and many bases oapabl© of **being** adsorbed by the soil are present in the solution at equilibrium. Bases liberated by the displacing cation counteract the adsorption of the displacing ion. Therefore, from a theoretical viewpoint, the term  $\frac{C}{S}(\frac{1}{T})$  should take into account the base liberated in **th® equilibriiaa solution,**  unless the amount of displacing ion is so large that the amount of base liberated is negligible by comparison. Jenny\*s data (16) confirm this sine© **he** found **that**  Yageler's equation was satisfactory only when **high** concentrations of displacing ion were used. In Vageler's

«. Q •

equation (29) the terms x and y were expressed in milliequivalents per hundred grams of soil rather than in terms of concentration in solution. Therefore, the amount of water present in the soil or the concentration of ions in the equilibrium solution did not receive any consideration in the equation. Gapon  $(12)$  called attention to the fact that dilution of a solution containing mono- and divalent cations in equilibrium with a soil caused the monovalent ion to be displaced from the soil by the divalent ion. Eaton and Sokoloff (7) found that the amounts of **Na,** and usually  $K$  and  $Mg$ , were higher in aqueous extracts than in displaead soil solutions but that the amounts of **Ca**  were lower. From this it would seem that some expression of the amount of water in the soil or the concentration of the ions should be included in Vageler's (29) equation when the displacing and displaced ions have different valencies.

Regardless of these criticisms Vageler's (29) equation was found to give satisfactory results on some Danish soils. Steenberg (27) used the equation to calculate the amount of displacing ion that should be added to a soil in order to displace sufficient Mn to prevent Grey Spot disease in plants. Greene  $(14)$  made a study of Vageler's equation as applied to some Sudan soils and came to the conclusion that a 1:5 soil-water ratio should be used. He found that by increasing the soil-water ratio, the

**9** 

value for S in Vageler's equation decreased. He also recommended that the amount of salt added to the soil, in the two experiments necessary to evaluate S and C, should vary by a ratio of 1 to 8. Jenny (16) observed that the aquation was mors satisfactory for determining S **as** tho amount of x increased. This was expected since the term  $\frac{C}{r}(\frac{1}{r})$  would approach zero as x is increased and therefore  $\overline{S}'\overline{x}'$ the value of y would approach S as a limit. In addition, the amount of base liberated from the soil would be negligible as compared to the amount added.

The law of mass action was used by Gapon **(11)** to **de**velop the equation,  $\frac{1}{\Gamma} = \frac{1}{\Gamma_{\infty}} + \frac{1}{K} \frac{C_1}{C_2}$ . This equation was applied only in cases where the displacing and displaced ions had the same valence. When the valencies were not equal, that is  $C_1$  divalent and  $C_2$  monovalent, the last term in the equation was written  $\frac{1}{K_{\Gamma_{\infty}}}(\frac{V_{\mathbf{C1}}^T}{C_2})$ . This form of the equation corresponded to the chemical equation,  $XCa_{\frac{1}{2}} + NH_{4}^{+} \leq \qquad \text{for}^{+} + XNH_{4}$ . The terms in Gapon's equation were  $\Gamma$ , the amount of cation absorbed, expressed in moles per 10 g. soil;  $\Box$  , total absorption capacity per 10 g. soil; K, equilibrium constant for the reaction;  $C_1$ , the concentration of the displaced ion in moles per liter; and C2, concentration of displacing ion in moles per liter at equilibrium. Gapon obtained a straight line when  $\frac{1}{5}$ r

**« 10 -**

was plotted against  $\frac{C_1}{C_2}$ , regardless of the concentration and volume of solution used.

The terms  $\Gamma$ ,  $\Gamma_{\infty}$ , and K correspond to the terms  $y$ , S, and C in Vageler's (29) equation. However, the last term in Gapon's equation  $\frac{1}{K}\Gamma_{\infty}$  ( $\frac{C1}{C2}$ ) takes into account the effect of the cations displaced from the soil on the equilibrium established, whereas Vageler's term  $\frac{1}{x}$  fails to do this. By expressing  $C_1$  and  $C_2$  in moles per liter, Gapon's equation automatically takes into account the effect of soilwater ratio on the equilibrium and on the amount of bases displaced.

Since Gapon's equation (11) was a straight line equation, only two determinations were required to evaluate K and  $\Gamma_{\infty}$ . The amount of base absorbed when two different amounts of displacing ion were added was measured, and the two sets of values for  $\frac{1}{\Gamma}$  and  $\frac{C_1}{C_2}$  were plotted. A straight line was drawn between the two points and extrapolated to the y axis. The intercept of the line,  $\frac{1}{\log 2}$ represented the reciprocal of the exchange capacity of the soil, and the equilibrium constant was determined from the slope of the line,  $\frac{1}{k}$ .

Marshall and Gupta (19) determined potentiometrically the activities of the different ions involved in the exchange equilibrium in clays. These activities were substituted into the mass law equation, and the dissociation

**\* 11 -**

constant was found to vary with changes in concentration of the displacing ion. They came to the conclusion that no one of the base exchange equations which have been proposed was satisfactory over a sufficiently wide range of concentration.

The change in the equilibrium constant with a change in the amount of displacing ion added was also noted by Aten (2). He stated that when the log.  $\frac{X_A C_B}{X_B C_A}$  was plotted against  $X_A$  in the equation  $log \cdot \frac{X_A C_B}{X_B C_A} = ax_A$  log.  $K_a$  a straight line resulted. He proposed the equation  $\frac{X_A}{X_B} = k_1(\frac{C_A}{C_B})e^{aX_A}$ . The terms  $X_A$  and  $X_B$  were the number of ions of A and B absorbed, k and a were constants, and  $C_A$  and  $C_B$  were the respective concentrations in solution.

When Gapon (11) derived his equation, he assumed areas of active adsorbing surface rather than compound formation between the soil and exchangeable ions. When compounds are considered to be formed, the assumption of formation of mixed crystals was found by Vanselow (30) and Fudge (9) to give the best results. The mass law equation gave values for K which were too high when soils with low base exchange capacity were studied by Fudge. He found that the equation  $\frac{dy}{dx}$  = K( $A - y$ ) gave more satisfactory results than the mass law equation. In this equation K and A were constants and x and y were the amounts of cation added and absorbed, respectively.

 $-12.$ 

Jenny (16) tested three different formulas (Ganssen's, Vageler's and Wiegner's) for ionic adsorption in soils but disoarded them as being unsatisfactory and introduced a new term, "symmetry value". This value expressed the per cent replaceable ion exchanged when a soil was treated with an amount of base equivalent to the exchange capacity of the soil. For example, if a soil having 60 m.e. of exchangeable  $NH_4^+$  was treated with 60 m.e. of Na<sup>+</sup> and 20 m.e. of  $NH_A$  was liberated, the "symmetry value" would be  $\frac{20}{60}$  x 100 = 33.3%. Jenny stated that since exchange reactions were not dilute solution reactions, the chemical laws for dilute solutions, such as the Wiegner-Freundlich equation, could not be expected to hold. Jenny tested the equation derived by Ganssen (10) from the mass action law and found that the equilibrium constant was affected by dilution, by the method used to determine it, and by a time factor. The equation was  $x^2$ stant was affected by dilution, by the method used to<br>determine it, and by a time factor. The equation was<br> $K = \frac{x^2}{(m+n-x)(g-x)}$  in which m was the exchange complex in<br>grams: n. total exchangeable bases per gram: g. the grams; n, total exchangeable bases per gram; g, the amount of salt added; and  $x$ , the amount of salt absorbed.

Wiegner (31) applied Freundllch's adsorption equation to base exchange in soils. The equation was  $\frac{x}{m} = Kc^{\overline{n}}$  in which  $\frac{x}{m}$  was the amount adsorbed per gram adsorbent; c, the concentration at equilibrium of the displacing ion; and  $K$  and  $n$ , constants. This equation was compared with

**- 13** 

Vageler's by Jenny (16) and found to be less satisfactory when high concentrations of salt solutions were used.

Fudge (9) was able to obtain better results by using the equation  $y = KC^p$  than by the mass law equation. Antipov-Karataev and Antipova-Karataeva (1) claimed that Freundlich's adsorption Isotherm was **satisfactory for**  ECl solutions up to 0#8N, but not above\* **They found that**  the law of mass action, even when using activities instead of concentrations, could not be **applied when solu**tions more concentrated than 0.1M were used.

The fact that organic matter plays an important part in base exchange in most soils caused Williams (32) **to**  make a somewhat different approach to the problem. He calculated the base exchange capacity by  $B = 0.57K + 6.3C_{O_2}$ B was the base exchange capacity of the soil and  $K$  and  $C_{\Omega}$ were the per cent clay and total oxidizable carbon, respectively. This equation gave **fairly** uniform results **on**  the soils tested and was use4 to determine the degree **of**  unsaturation by subtracting the exchangeable bases **found**  by experiment from the exchange capacity calculated by the equation.

The use of ammonium acetate for the determination of exchangeable bases was proposed by Schollenberger (25) since he believed it superior to  $NH_4C1$ . He pointed out the following three advantages in using ammonium acatate:

**» 14 ••** 

1.) It was a neutral salt, 2.) it was a good buffering agent, and 3.) it had less solvent effect on the soil than did NH<sub>4</sub>Cl. To obtain the exchangeable bases in the soil he leached 100 g. of soil with 750 ml. of 2N ammonium acetate solution. Brown and Walker (4) compared Schollenberger's ammonium acetate method with Parker's barium acetate - ammonium chloride method on some Iowa soils and found that the two gave comparable results.

After an examination of several methods for the determination of base exchange capacity, Puri and Uppal (22) came to the conclusion that the titration method was the only correct one since exchange capacity varied with pH. Pyranishinikov and Lukovnikov (23) also came to the conclusion that adsorption capacity seemed to be a function of the hydrogen-ion concentration. They found little Fe and Al adsorption above pH 5 and that Al was more effective than Fe in displacing Ca from the soil.

Kawashima (17) noted that soils treated with HCl at room temperature suffered a decrease in exchange capacity. Hillkowitz (15) found the same thing to be true and was unable to restore the exchange capacity to the original value by neutralization with bases. However, HCl solutions less than 0.1M did not have deleterious effect on the zeolite complex in soils.

Cation and anion exchange were attributed to the amphoteric properties of the soil by Mattson (20).

Schofield (24) wrote equations to connect the change in axchaag® capacity **with** pH. He believed the **reaction**   $\equiv$ SiOH  $\leq$   $\equiv$ Si $\sim$ <sup>6</sup> + H<sup>\*</sup> took place at high pH's. This was used to explain the increase In **negative charges on**  clay particles or the increase in **exchange capacity at**  higher pH»3« Positive charges at **low pH\*s were attributed**  to the following reaction:  $-AL=0 + H^+ \leq -A I - OH$ . This reaction **would** shift to the right **and develop positive**  charges in the soil as the concentration of **the hydrogen**  ion was increased. Schofield (24) found three types of clays: 1.) The type whose cation exchange decreased with pli until a constant value was obtained and no **positive**  charges developed, 2») the type whose **cation exchange**  dscreased continuously with decreasing **pH but never**  reached zero **exchange,** and 3#) the type **whose cation ex**change decreased with pH until it became **zero and anion**  exchange was developed. Tyulin and Bystrova (28) attributed the increase in adsorption of Ca from Ca(OH)<sub>2</sub> over that obtained from GaCl2 to the coagulation of **negative**  clay and positive R<sub>2</sub>0<sub>3</sub> gels which then acquired a negative  $charge +$ 

**The** amphoteric properties of **the clay colloids affect**  the exchange capacity of this material, but this effect in a soil is conditioned by the presence of organic matter which has been shown to have a marked base exchange capacity. Slater and Byers (26) found the exchange capacity of organic

**- 16 •** 

colloids to be very high as compared to the inorganic colloids in the soil. Mitchell (21) found as high as  $65\%$ of the base exchange capacity of some soils to be due to tha organic fraction\* Weight for weight organic **matter was**  found by Oraig (5) to have twenty times the exchange **ca**pacity of the clay colloids. Demolon and Barbier (6) concluded that colloidal clay was **a** factor in the fixation of humus colloids and that cations on the clay, especially  $Ca$ , conditioned the formation of the complex. This combination of humus and clay colloids was thought by Barbier  $(3)$  to have a greater exchange capacity than either fraction taken separately.

Since Vageler's equation had been applied to soils with a certain degree of success and since Gapon's **equa**tion appeared to express base exchange in terms of the law of mass action, it was decided to test these two equations on some Iowa soils.

# III. EXPERIMENTAL

## A. Materials

# 1. Soil samples.

Soil samples were from the top  $6-2/3$ " of cultivated Iowa soils. All samples were ground to pass a 1-mm. sieve, mixed well, air dried and stored in stoppered jars. The grinding was done with a rubber pestle in order to avoid breaking individual soil particles and thereby changing the exchange complex of the soil.



 $-18 -$ 

## 2. Preparation of solutions.

a. Ammonium acetate. Ammonium acetate solutions were made by weighing a calculated amount of the salt, dissolving it in a minimum amount of distilled water, transferring this solution to a volumetric flask and diluting to the mark.

Hjdroohlorio aeid.» **Enough concentrated** HCl **to**  make approximately 7 liters of 0.2N acid was measured in a graduate and transferred **to** a 2-gal. **carboy. Distilled**  water was added to make the total volume approximately 7 liters **and the** carboj placed **on** a **rocker** shaker **for**  two hours or more. After removing from the shaker, the solution was **allowed** to stand for several **hours,** preferably overnight, before standardization- **The solution was si**phoned from the carboy as needed.

**c. Sodium hydroxide.** Approximately 56 g. of NaOH pellets **were dissolved** in **distilled water and the solution**  poured **into approximately** 7 **liters of distilled water in**  a 2-gal. **carboy. This** was **shaken for two or more hours**  and **allowed to** stand **several** hours **before standardizing.**  No attempt was made to eliminate carbonates from the **solution** since **methyl orange indicator was used in the**  standardization and in all titrations. The solution was protected **from the CO2 of the air by a** CaClg **tube con**taining **either** ascarite **or** sodallrae# **The solution was** 

 $-19 -$ 

siphoned from the carboy as needed.

d. Potassium permanganate. An amount of KMnO<sub>4</sub> calculated to make 7 liters of O.IN solution was dissolved **in**  a minimum amount of distilled water, the solution filtered and added to 7 liters of distilled water in a  $2$ -gal. carboy which had been painted black. After shaking for two hours on a roeker shaker, the solution waa allowed to stand overnight before standardization.

**«• Sodium** thlomilfate» The **thlosulfate solution was**  made by dissolving 60 g. of Na<sub>2</sub>S<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> \*5H<sub>2</sub>O in about 7 liters of distilled water, shaking **two hours and allowing the**  solution to stand for three days before **atandardlzation.** 

f. Potassium bromide - potassium bromate. This solution contained approximately 11  $g$ . of KBrOg and 37  $g$ . of KBr in 7 liters of water. The two salts were dissolved in distilled water, diluted to approximately **7** liters, **sliaken**  two hours, and allowed to stand overnight before being standardized.

3. Standardization of solutions.

a. Hydrochloric acid. The HCl solution was standardlaed against reagent **grade MagCOg which had been oven**  dried at 110°C« and cooled in a desiccator **over CaClg.**  A quantity of Na2C0g equivalent **to** 80-25 **ml. of HCl was**  accurately weighed and dissolved **In approximately** 100 **ml.**  of distilled water# This solution was titrated **with the** 

**20 -**

HCl solution to be standardized until the methyl orange end point was reached. The first appearance of brown throughout the solution was taken as the end point.

b. Sodium hydroxide. The sodium hydroxide solution waa standardised against standard HCl **solution using**  methyl orange as indicator.

**c«** Fotassiua **pex^nKanate.** Standardization **of the**  KM11O4 solution was accomplished by **weighing oven**-dried, reagent,grade dissolving it **in** 100 **ml. distilled**  water, adding 10 ml. of 10% H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> and heating the solution to 80®C. This heated solution **was** titrated **with KMn04**  solution until a faint purple color due to excess KMnO<sub>4</sub> existed for fifteen seconds or longer.

d. Sodium thiosulfate. The NagS2O3 solution was standardized against standard  $K M n O_d$  by adding a measured amount of permanganate to a solution containing excess HCl and KI, allowing the solution to stand for about five minutes and titrating the iodine liberated. Approximately 1 ml. of starch solution was added when the color of the iodine solution became pale yellow, and addition of thiosulfate was continued until the disappearance of the blue starch-iodine color was noted.

»# Potaasjuia broalde - potasaium **broaate.. Bromine**  solution (KBr-KBrO<sub>3</sub>) was added to a solution containing 5 ml. of 10% KI and 10 ml. 2M HCl in approximately 100 ml.

$$
-21 =
$$

solution. The resulting solution containing liberated iodine was allowed to stand for approximately three minutes and titrated with standard Na<sub>2</sub>S<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> solution to the starchlodine end point.

### B. Procedure

The procedure described below was used in determining the exchangeable bases liberated when a soil was allowed to ooae to equilibrium with a salt **solution and in deter**mining the total exchangeable bases in a soil.

## !• Bxohangeable basea liberated in equilibrium with a displaoing ion»

Since Vageler's and Gapon's equations are equations for a straight line, only two pointa should **be necessary**  to fix the line# In order to **obtain tiwa data for these**  two points,, it was neoessary to determine **the** amount **of**  bases liberated by two solutions of different concentrations in equilibrium with a soil. According to Greene (14), the amount of displacing ion added in the two experiments should differ **by** a faotor of 8, **tliat la the oon»**  oantration of one solution should **bo** 8 times **that of the**  other.

Fifty grams of air-dry soil that had passed a l-mm. sieve was placed in a 500-ml. bottle. To this, 250 ml. of an ammonium acetate solution containing 50 m.e.

"• S2 ••

 $(3.85 g<sub>*</sub>)$  or 400 m.e.  $(30.8 g<sub>*</sub>)$  was added and the mixture shaken for two hours on a rocker shaker at room temperature. The solution was allowed to stand until the soil particles settled well, preferably overnight, and the supernatant liquid was siphoned off. The solution was then filtered through an asbestos mat held in a Buchner funnel. A few milliliters of the solution was drawn through the funnel and discarded; the rest of the solution was filtered and a 100-ml. aliquot pipetted out for analysis.

a. Calcium. The 100-ml. aliquot was heated just to boiling, 1-2 ml. of 6N acetic acid was added to lower the pH and make certain that no Mg was precipitated with the calcium, and 5 ml. of a 5% ammonium oxalate solution was added with vigorous stirring. The calcium oxalate formed was allowed to settle for one hour, filtered through Whatman's No. 30 filter paper and washed 5 times with small amounts of cold distilled water. Between 10 and 20 ml. of 10% sulfuric acid was poured over the CaC<sub>204</sub> and allowed to drain into the receiving beaker. A small hole was then punched in the bottom of the paper and **any**  undissolved  $CaC_2O_4$  was washed into the beaker with 60-80 ml. of distilled water. The solution was heated to  $80^{\circ}$ C. and titrated with standard KMnO4 to the end point or slightly past. The filter paper on which the CaC<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub> had been caught was then placed in the solution

**- 23** 

and swirled a few times with a stirring rod. If the purple color disappeared, more  $KMnO_4$  was added until the solution remained colored for thirty seconds or longer. Care was taken to avoid macerating the filter paper, for if this happened the end point faded badly and caused high results..

b. Magnesium. The filtrate from the calcium determination was heated to  $60^{\circ}$ C., 2 ml. of 5% 8-hydroxyquinoline solution in 2N acetic acid was added and 6N NH<sub>4</sub>OH added slowly with constant stirring until the magnesium quinolate just started to precipitate. The solution was allowed to stand for one to one and a half hours, filtered through Whatman's No. 30 paper, washed well with very slightly ammoniacal water and the precipitate dissolved by pouring 50 ml. of 2N HCl through the filter, followed by 25 ml. of distilled water. The acid solution was placed in a 125-ml. glass stoppered bottle and titrated with standard KBr-KBrOg solution using methyl orange as indicator. From 0,2 to 0.3 ml. excess bromine solution was added, allowed to stand one minute with the bottle stoppered and the excess titrated with standard  $Na_2S_2O_3$ . This was done by adding 2-3 ml. of  $10\%$  KI solution and titrating the iodine liberated to a pale yellow color, then adding about 1 ml. of starch solution and continuing the titration to the disappearance of the blue color. Methyl orange was slowly brominated in this solution, and it was necessary

**24 -**

to add several drops of indicator to maintain a pink coloi' during th® titration\* The titration **was** continued until a fresh drop of methyl orange failed permanently to color the solution. Since some of the bromine was used by the indicator it seemed advisable to determine the amount of bromine solution needed to destroy the methyl orange in one drop of indicator. By counting the number of drops of indicator used, a blank **was** calculated and subtracted from the amount of bromine used in the titration of a sample. If too large an excess of bromine solution was added, bromine was lost from the solution and high results were obtained.

c. Sodium and potassium. The filtrate from the magaesim deterrainatlon.was evaporated to **dryness In a porce**lain evaporating dish, the residue dissolved in 5 ml. of 5% oxalic acid and re-evaporated to dryness. This oxalate residue was ignited in a muffle furnace until the oxalates were converted into carbonates or oxides. After cooling, the ignited residue was dissolved in excess standard **HCl** by warming almost to boiling on a **hot** plat®, cooling and titrating the excess acid with standard NaOH using methyl orange as indicator. The walls of the evaporating dish were rubbed down with a rubber pollceaian **before back**  titrating with the NaOH.

The salts were converted to the oxalates by oxalic acid as it was found that more satisfactory results could

**- 25 -**

be obtained by igniting oxalates instead of acetates. The ignition was carefully controlled since both insufficient and too much heating cause low results. A series of controla were **run** in order to determine **the correct time and**  temperature for the ignition. It was found that there was only a narrow temperature range which would give quantitative comreralon of the oxalates to **carbonates or oxides**  • without loss of sample, thus making it necessary to control the conditions of the ignition rather carefully. The ignition procedure adopted was to place the evaporating dishes containing the dry residues in a cold muffle furnace with the rheostat set at a point that would **bring the oven**  to a cherry red heat in seventy to seventy-five minutes. The samples were removed as soon as **this temperature was**  obtained.

d. Total bases liberated from the soil. When the total amount of bases liberated from the soil was desired rather than the amount of individual bases, the  $100-\text{m}$ . aliquot was acidified with 5 ml. of  $5\%$  oxalic acid and evaporated to dryness in a porcelain dish. The residue was treated with 5 ml. of 5% oxalic acid, re-evaporated, ignited and titrated as described under the determination of sodium and potassium.

**- 26 -**

# 2. Total exchangeable bases by leaching.

Twenty-five grams of air-dry soil was placed in a Buchner funnel which contained a filtering medium prepared by placing **a** well-fitting filter paper **on** the **bottom,**  covering this with a layer of asbestos and then inserting a second filter paper. The soil was leached with 5 successive 100-ml. portions of 1N ammonium acetate. Each portion **of** solution was allowed to filter **by** gravity **for**  approximately fifteen minutes and the remaining solution drawn through by suction. The resulting leachate was diluted to 500 ml. in a volumetric flask and  $100$ -ml. portions pipetted out for analysis. Ilie **solution was**  analyzed for bases by the procedures just described.

## C. Results

## 1. Base exchange equations applied to Clarion loam.

In order to test Vageler'a and Gapon's equations on a typical Iowa soil, a Glarlon loam topsoll Mo# **1062** was used. Clarion loam is one of the most highly developed soils of Iowa, the topsoil has a medium to low acidity and the subsoil contains lime at a depth of 30-33 inches. Amounts of soli ranging from 6»S5 g. to **100** g. **were**  treated with 50 to 800 m.e. of ammonium acetate in solutions varying from 125 to 1000 ml. In each case the soil

**- 27 -**

was allowed to come to equilibrium with the ammonium acetate solution and the bases determined **aa** described. **Table** I presents the results obtained.

In the table "m.e.  $NH_4^+$  added" represents the milliequivalents of  $NH_4^+$  added as ammonium acetate and  $m.e.$ base per 100  $g_+$  soil" is the milliequivalents of Ca, Mg, Na and K liberated from 100 g. of the soil. The term  $(\frac{1}{x})$ represents the reciprocal of the milliequivalents of  $NH_A^+$ **ion added per gram soil and**  $(\frac{1}{y})$  **the reciprocal of the** milliequivalents of base liberated per gram soil. In this study, the terms  $y$  and  $\Gamma$  were used to express the amount of bases released by the soil rather than the amount of base absorbed, as defined by Vageler and Gapon. If the exchange was equivalent and a negligible amount of  $H^*$  was displaced, then the amount of base absorbed and released would be the same. However, the amount of NH<sub>4</sub> ion used to displace  $H^+$  in highly unsaturated soils would be appreciable when compared to that used to displace the bases. The amount of  $H^+$  released by the ammonium ion was not measured because of the difficulty of **titrating** the free acid in the presence of ammonium acetate. Since the H<sup>+</sup> was not determined, the terms S and  $\bar{c}$ , in Vageler's and Gapon's equations respectively, were defined as the total exchangeable bases held by the soil. This definition of y and P was used to determine whether or not the

**- 28** 

equations oould be used to calculate **the amount of base**  that would be exchanged by a given amount of displacing base.

If the aiaiaonium ion is absorbed in **amounts equivalent**  to the bases liberated, then  $(\frac{y}{x-y})$  expresses the ratio at equilibrium **of** the bases displaced and the **displacing ion,**  in which y is the milliequivalents of bases liberated and X is the milliequivalents of displacing ion **added. The**  values x and y in the term  $\left(\frac{y^2}{x-y}\right)$  are the same as in  $\left(\frac{y}{x-y}\right)$ but are expressed in milliequivalents per 100 g. soil rather than per gram soil for convenience in graphing. The terms  $V\overline{G}_1$  and  $G_2$  express in moles per liter the square root of the concentration of the displaced ions (over 95%) divalent) and the concentration of the displacing ion at equilibrium, respectively, and  $\frac{1}{n}$  is the same as  $\frac{1}{n}$ .

The terms x-y, expressed in milliequivalents per 100 g. soil, and  $C_{2}$ , expressed in moles per liter, are the equilibrium concentrations of th© displacing ion. **The** symbol x represents the ailliequivalents of displacing ion added and y is the ailliequivalents of base displaced. **Two assump**tions were made when x-y was called the equilibrium **con**centration of the displacing ion. First, it **was assumed**  that the exchange was nearly equivalent and **that** any deviation from equivalent exchange was negligible when compared to X. Second, the araount of displacing ion, **x,** exchanged

**29 •** 

No.			$m_*\mathbf{e}_*$	$m - \Theta$ .						S obtained by plotting			
	$g_{\bullet}$ Soil	ml. Sol'n.	MB <sub>4</sub> Added	Base/ 100g. Soil	$rac{1}{y}$	$rac{1}{x}$	$\frac{\sqrt{C_1}}{C_2}$	$\frac{y}{x-y}$	$y^2$ $x-y$ $t$	Vageler's	Gapon's	<u>y</u> $x - y$	$\mathbf{y}^{\mathbf{z}}$ $x - y$
1	6, 25	250	50	16.0	6, 25	.125	.072	2,04	$-327$	20.0	19.7	20.3	20.7
$\boldsymbol{2}$	6,25	250	400	19.2	5.21	.016	.0097	.39	.075				
$\mathbf{3}$	12.5	125	50	14.9	$6.72$ .25		$-224$	3.87	.580	19.4	19.6	20.0	20.1
$\ddot{\bullet}$	12.5	125	400	18.9	$5 - 31$	.031	.0303	.59	.113				
5	12.5	250	50	13.8	7.25	.25	.304	3.60	.495	19.6	19.8	$20.4$ $20.8$	
6	12.5	250	400	18.7	5.34	.031	.043	.59	.110				
7	25	125	50	12.9	7.75	.50	.304	$6 - 90$	$-895$	18.3	18.2	$18.2 \quad 18.7$	
8	25	125	400	17.5	5,72	$-063$	.0417	1.10	.193				
$\mathbf{9}$	25	250	50	12.3	8.14	.50	.418	6.55	.815				
10	25	250	200	15.9	6, 30	.125	.114	2.03	.324	18.3*	$18.4*$		$18.7*19.4*$
11	25	250	400	17.2	5.82	.063	.0585	1.09	.187	$18.8**$	$19.0**$		$19.0^{++19.2^{++}$
12	25	250	800	18.0	5,55	.031	.0298	.57	,102				
13	25	500	50	11.1	9.00	.50	.556	5.9	.565	18.2	18.5	19.1	$20 - 8$
14	25	500	400	16.8	5.95	.063	.081	1,06	.179				
15	25	1000	50	$(7.0)$ $(14.3)$		$\cdot$ 50	.615	3,6	.254	15.0	15.7	17.3	

TABLE I Bases Exchanged from Clarion Loam No. 1062

 $\mathbf{1}$  $\infty$  $\blacksquare$ 

TABLE I  $(Cont<sup>t</sup>d.)$ 

										S obtained by plotting			
No.	$E -$ Soil	ml. Sol'n.	$m_*$ e. NH4+ Added	m.e. Base/ 100g. Soil	$\frac{1}{y}$	$\frac{1}{x}$	$\frac{\sqrt{C_1}}{C_2}$	$\frac{y}{x-y}$	$\Sigma^2$ $\overline{x-y}$ :	Vageler's	Gapon <sup>+</sup> s	$x-y$	$\mathbf{y}^{\mathcal{L}}$ $x - y$
16	25	1000	400	(13, 0)	(7, 70)		$.063$ .102	.82	.106				
17	37.5	125	50	12.5	8,00	.75	.369	10.4	1.30	17.7	17.9	18.0	18.5
18	37.5	125	400	16.8	5.95		$.094$ $.0503$	1.60	.270				
19	37.5	250	50	11.5	8,70	.75	.506	9.45	1.09	17.9	17.9	18.5	19.6
20	37.5	250	400	16.7	6.00		.094.071	1.59	.268				
21	50	125	50	11.3	8,85	1.00		$.424$ 12.75	1.44	17.3	17.5	17.8	18.7
22	50	125	400	16.2	6.17		.125.058	2.07	.336				
23	50	250	50	10.6	9.43	1,00	.577	11.9	1.26				
24	50	250	100	12.5	8.0	.50 <sub>1</sub>	.298	6.67	$-835$	$17.3^{\#}$	$17.6$ <sup>#</sup> 18.0 <sup>#</sup> 19.4 <sup>#</sup>		
25	50	250	200	13.8	7.25	.25	.151	3.38	.495				
26	50	250	400	16.0	6.25		.125.081	2.04	.326	$18.0$ #			$18.2$ <sup>H</sup> $18.4$ <sup>H</sup> $19.0$ <sup>H</sup>
27	50	250	800	17.0	5,88		.063.041	1.07	.183				
28	50	500	50	9.7	$10 - 3$	1.00 .735		10.7	1.04	17.2	$17 - 3$	17,8	20.3
29	50	500	400	15.5	6.45		$.125$ $.112$	1.98	.308				
30	50	1000	50	7.4	13.5	1.00.941		8.0	.592	16.2	17.2	19.7	

 $\langle \pmb{\cdot} \rangle$  $\frac{\omega}{L}$  $\bullet$ 





8 by lemening = 19.1, 19.1, 19.2; ave. = 19.1

S values for experiments Ho. 9 & 11<br>S values for experiments Ho. 10 & 12<br>S values for experiments Ho. 23 & 26<br>S values for experiments Ho. 24 & 27

 $\frac{1}{2}$
for  $H^+$  was assumed to be negligible when compared to the equilibrium concentration x-y. The value of  $C_Q$  was obtained by converting x-y to moles per liter.

To determine whether or not a straight line could be obtained by plotting  $\frac{1}{\pi}$  against  $\frac{1}{x}$  for the Vageler equation,  $\frac{1}{y} = \frac{1}{5} + \frac{1}{5} (\frac{1}{x})$ , or  $\frac{1}{\Gamma}$  against  $\frac{{}^{\vee}C_1}{{}^{\circ}C_2}$  for the Gapon equation,  $\frac{1}{\Box} = \frac{1}{\Box} + \frac{1}{K\Box}$  ( $\frac{0.1}{C_2}$ ), the values in Table I, for 25 and 50 g. soil in 250 ml. solution with varying amounts of ammonium acetate, were graphed in Pig, 1. It can be aeen that the points for neither equation produced a straight line. When a curve was drawn through the points and extrapolated to the y axis, the intercept  $\frac{1}{S}$  was approximately the same for both equations. The values for S, calculated from the equations, varied from 19.2 to 18.5 and were fairly close to the value for the total exchangeable bases obtained by leaching (19.1). Similarly, it can be seen from Fig. 2 that a smooth curve was obtained when  $\frac{1}{y}$  was plotted against  $\frac{1}{x}$  for 50 and 400 m.e. ammonium acetate in 250 ml. solution with varying amounts of soil and that S (19.2) calculated from the intercept agreed very well with S by leaching  $(19.1)$ . When the same amounts of soil and salt were used and the volume of solution changed from 250 to 125 and 500 ail., three smooth curves resulted, each with the same intercept on the y axis, fhls is shown in Fig, 3, Ho points are shown for the center curve as it was traced from Fig. 2,

**- 3S -**







In Fig. 4 the equation  $\frac{1}{y} = \frac{1}{S} + \frac{1}{CS}(\frac{y}{x-y})$  was used for the same soil-salt mixtures shown in Fig. 2. This equation differs from Vageler's only in the last term which takes into account the effect of the displaced cations y on the equilibrium and expresses the amount of displacing ion present at equilibrium  $x-y$ , rather than the amount originally added. Again a smooth curve was obtained, but the change in the slope of the curve was less, this being the case for each different volume of solution.

This partial straightening of the curve by substituting  $\frac{y}{x-y}$  for  $\frac{1}{x}$  suggested that the term  $\frac{y}{x-y}$  plotted against  $\frac{1}{x}$  might produce a straight line. It can be seen in Fig. 5 that the points fall approximately on a straight line when varying amounts of soil are allowed to reach equilibrium in 250 ml. of solution containing 50 and 400 m.e. ammonium acetate. The same statement can be made concerning varying amounts of soil in 125 and 500 ml, of solution, but the slope of the curve was not the same in the three cases. This meant that the term C in the equation  $\frac{1}{y} = \frac{1}{S} + \frac{1}{SC} (\frac{y^2}{x-y})$ was constant for cases in which the same volume of solution was Involved, but not for all conditions.

There was no apparent reason for squaring the y term in the numerator. When the terms  $\frac{1}{x}$  or  $\frac{y}{x-y}$  were plotted against  $\frac{1}{\gamma}$ , as in Fig. 1 to 4 inclusive, it was noted that the slope of the curve became increasingly smaller as the



 $38 -$ 



 $-39-$ 

amount of salt added to the soil decreased. Evidently the amount of base liberated by small quantities of salt was too large to fit Gapon's or Vageler's equations or  $\frac{1}{x} = \frac{1}{S} + \frac{1}{SC}(\frac{y}{x-y})$ . As Soil No. 1062 was not saturated with bases, but was studied in the base exchange state in which it existed in the field, some  $H^+$  would also exchange for  $NH_A^*$ . A possible explanation would be that dilute armonium acetate solutions, having a smaller buffering capacity than more concentrated ones, would have a lower pH when equilibrium was established, and therefor® the capacity of the soil to hold bases would be lowered and the amount of bases released from the soil consequently increased.

Pig» 6 represents an attempt to apply Gapon's equation to the exchangeable bases from Soil No. 1062 and corresponds to Fig. 3 in which the Vageler equation was used. The points do not fall on a smooth curve, but the best line drawn through them is almost straight until the y axis is approached. The points in Pig. 6 represent the values obtained in 125, 250 and 500 ml. of solution, but there is no distinct curve representing each of the three volumes as occurred when Vageler's equation was used.

In Pig. 7 the values obtained when varying amounts of soil were placed in solutions of the same volume and concentration of displacing ion are plotted. The figure shows that straight lines were obtained, one for each

**- 40** 



 $-41 -$ 



initial concentration of ammonium acetate used, and that the lines converge to a point on the y axis.

From an inspection of Fig.  $1+7$ , inclusive, it was apparent that none of the equations represented accurately the base exchange phenomena for Soil No. 1062. Vageler's equation and the modified Vageler equation were definitely not aatlafaotory. Both equation gave a plotted value for S which agreed well with the value obtained by leaching, but the term G was not constant and would have to be determined for each individual case. The second modification of Vageler's equation  $\frac{1}{y} = \frac{1}{S} + \frac{1}{S}(\frac{y^2}{x-y})$  gave the nearest approach to a straight line of any of the equations used, but the slope of the line changed with the volume of solution in contact with the soil. The equation derived by Gapon most nearly presented a true picture of the reaction when all factors were considered. The equation produced straight lines when varying amounts of soil were added to a solution of fixed concentration. For an explanation of the curve obtained In Fig. 6, see p, 72 and 73,

# 2. The effect of soil-water ratio on S as obtained by Vageler's equation.

In order to check Greene's (14) statement that S obtained by plotting  $\frac{1}{y}$  against  $\frac{1}{x}$  depended on the soil-water ratio, the data in Table I were recalculated in terms of soil-water, soil-salt and salt-water ratios and are

**43 -**

presented in fable **II.** By inspection **of** Table **II,** it can be seen that only in those experiments in which the soilsalt ratio was constant did the value of S remain constant. If the ratio of soil to salt was constant, then the soilwater ratio could be changed fourfold without affecting the plotted value for  $S$ . Fig. 8 shows this point more clearly. The numbers given at each point are the values for S obtained when different amounts of soil were treated in 125, 250 and 500 ml. solution with 50 and 400  $m.e.$ ammonium acetate. The numbers 1z, 2z and 4z represent salt-water ratios of 1-2.5, 1-5 and 1-10, respectively. In calculating the salt-soil and salt-water ratios, the value for salt was taken as 50 m.e. in each case. The values for S which lie on horizontal lines representing a constant aalt-soll ratio were approximately constant. Soil-water and salt-water ratios were varied as much as fourfold without causing S to change. It was to be  $ex$ pected that a change in salt-soil ratio would give different S values since it was shown in Fig. 1 that the slope of the curve varied with the amount of salt used.

Fig. 9 shows more clearly the effect of soil-salt ratio on the calculated ©zchange capacity obtained by using Vageler's equation. The points fall reasonably close to a straight line and indicate a possible maximum amount of exchangeable base of 20.5 m.e. This value

# TABLE II

 $\mathcal{F}^{\mathcal{A}}$ 





j  $\mathbf{I}$ 

\*Values from Table I.

 $\frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{1}{2} \right)$  ,  $\frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{1}{2} \right)$ 

 $\label{eq:2} \begin{split} \mathcal{L}_{\text{max}}(\mathbf{r}) = \frac{1}{2} \mathcal{L}_{\text{max}}(\mathbf{r}) \mathcal{L}_{\text{max}}(\math$ 

 $\label{eq:2.1} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^{2} \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^{2} \left(\$ 





seems too high, but from the data obtained it appears that 500 ml. of 1.0N ammonium acetate was not sufficient to displace all the bases from the soil, in spite of the fact that doubling the amount of normal **solution increased**  the bases by only **0»1** m.e. In experiment Ko. **2 in Table** I, 400 m.e. ammonium acetate in equilibrium in 250 ml. solution with  $6.25$  g. soil liberated as much base per gram of soil m **was** obtained **by** leaching 25 g» **soil with one liter**  of normal solution.

Contrary to Ore©n©\*s **(14)** findings. **Pig. 8** shows, that for Clarion loam, the values for S obtained by plotting Vageler's equation did not vary with the soil-water ratio when the soil-salt ratio was held constant. However, the slope of the curves did vary with the soil-water ratio, but the curves shifted so that they intersected the y **axis**  at the same  $point.$ 

#### 3. E^aae exchange equations applied **to** Shelby **loaia.**

fable III presents the data for Shelby loam, Ro. **17C.**  This soil has a moderately acid topsoil to **a** depth of about **30** Inches and a basic to weakly acid C horizon from **SO** to **60** inches. Twenty-five graras of soil **was** treated with four different amounts of ammonium acetate in 250 ml. of solution and the displaced bases were measured. The data obtained were plotted in Pig. **10,** and curves similar to those obtained for Soil No. **1062** (Pig. **1)** were obtained.

# TABLE III

Base Exchange from Shelby Loam No. 17c





 $\ddot{\bullet}$  $\frac{4}{3}$  $\pmb{\ddot{}}$ 



 $50 -$ 

The value  $\frac{1}{y}$  plotted against  $\frac{1}{x}$  and  $\frac{\sqrt{C_1}}{C_2}$  produced curved lines, but when plotted against  $\frac{y}{x-y}$  the best line through the points was a straight line that intercepted the y axis at  $7.1$  (S = 14.1 m.e./100 g. soil).

fhls soil shows some decrease in S by plotting when wider soil-water ratios are used. Fifty grams of soil in 125, 250 and 500  $ml_*$  solution containing 50 and 400  $m_*e_*$ ammonium acetate gave values for S of 13.2, 12.7 and 12.4, respectively. These values represent a constant soilsalt ratio, and Soil No. 170 is unlike No. 1062 in that the same value for S was not obtained when the soil-salt ratio was held constant.

The soil-water ratio was held constant and the soilsalt ratio varied in the experiments involving 12.5 g. soil in 125 ml. solution, 25 g. soil in 250 ml. and 50 g. soil in 500 ml. solution. The value for S decreased as the soil-salt ratio increased in a manner similar to that observed for Soil No. 1062.

#### 4. Base exchange equations applied to Tama silt loam.

Tama silt loam, No. 19C, is a highly developed and productive soil of eastern and east-central Iowa. It is moderately acid to a depth of 36 inches or more and re— Mains slightly acid to a depth of at least 60 Inches.

A series of determinations were made on Tama silt loam, No. 19C, involving from  $6.25$  to  $75$  g. of soil.

Fifty and 400 m.e. ammonium acetate in 250 ml. of solution were used for each weight of soil. The results are presented in Table IV and Fig. 11 through 14.

Fig. 11 shows that  $\frac{1}{x}$  plotted against  $\frac{1}{x}$  again produced a curve whose slope was fairly constant until it approached the y axis.

When  $\frac{1}{7}$  was plotted against  $\frac{\sqrt{C_1}}{C_2}$ , two straight lines were obtained which intercepted the y axis at the same point. This is shown in Fig. 12. Although it was not so apparent for Soils No. 1062 and No. 17C, the same type of curve resulted.

Fig. 13 and 14 show the curves for  $\frac{y}{x-y}$  and  $\frac{y^2}{x-y}$ , respectively. The equation  $\frac{1}{y} = \frac{1}{5} + \frac{1}{50} (\frac{y^2}{x-y})$  again seemed to give the most nearly straight line of the four equations The best straight line drawn through the points tested. gave a value for S of about 17.0 against a value by leaching of 17.2.

# 5. Base exchange equations applied to Conover silt loam, Fayette silt loam, Lindley fine sandy loam, Millsdale

Conover and Lindley series have moderately acid A and B horizons and a weakly acid C horizon. Fayette silt loam has medium to highly acid A and B horizons and a medium to weakly acid C horizon, whereas Millsdale loam, derived from limestone, has weakly acid, shallow topsoil overlying the parent material.



Bases Exchanged from Tama Silt Loam No. 19c

Soil No. 19c

			$M - \Theta -$		$rac{1}{y}$	$\frac{1}{x}$	$V\overline{c}_1$ $\sigma z$	$\mathbf{y}$ $\overline{x-y}$	$\frac{y^2}{x-y}$	$\overline{\mathbf{S}^*}$ obtained by plotting $\mathbf{r}$			
No.	g٠ <b>Soil</b>	ml. Sol'n. Added	$\mathbf{M}_{4}$	y						<i>i</i> Vageler's	Gapon's x-y	v	$y^2$ $\overline{x-y}$
$\mathbf{1}$	$6 - 25$	250	50	14.4	6,95	.125	,218	.018	.262	17.4	17.4		$17.4$ 17.5
$\mathbf{2}$	6.25	250	400	16.9	5.90	.016	$-029$	.003	.045				
$\mathbf{3}$	12.5	250	50	12.6	7.95	•25	.298	.032	, 41	17.2	17.2		$17.4$ 17.6
4	12.5	250	400	16.4	6.10	.031	.040	.005	$*085$				
5	25	250	50	11.2	8.95	•50	.396	.060	, 67	16.1	16.2		$16.5$ $17.0$
6	25	250	400	15.3	6,55	.063	.055	.010	.15				
$\boldsymbol{\tau}$	37.5	250	50	10.9	9.20.75		.49	.089	.97	16.0	16.1		$16.3$ $17.1$
8 <sup>1</sup>	37.5	250	400	15.2		$6.60 - 094$	.063	.014	.22				
9	50	250	50	9.9	10.10 1.00		•550	.110	.109	15.4	15.5		15.8 16.8
10	60	250	400	14.4		6.95.125	.076	.018	.264				
11	75	250	50	9.1	11.00 1.50		.675	.158	1.44	$15 - 1$	$15 - 5$		$15.7$ 17.3
12	75	250	400	14.0	7.15.19		.093	.027	.378				

تقريبها فالمتحدث المروانية والمرادي

\*S by leaching  $= 17.2.$ 

 $\pmb{\Psi}$ S<br>S  $\overline{\mathbf{3}}$ 









 $\,$   $\,$ 57  $\mathbf{I}$ 

Tables V and VI present the values obtained for S by the four equations for the five soils. Fifty grams of ©aoh soil was placed in 250 nil. solution containing 50 and  $400$  m,e. ammonium acetate. In addition to this, three points were obtained for Soil No. 7C and S determined for 25  $g_s$  of No. 110. (See Table V.)

Quite good agreement was obtained between S by plotting  $\frac{1}{y} = \frac{1}{S} + \frac{1}{SC} (\frac{y^2}{x-y})$  and S by leaching. The largest deviation was for Wo. llC on 50 g, soil where a difference of  $0.3$  m.e./100 g. soil was obtained.

Soil No. 4C, a second Clarion loam soil, was the only soil tested in which S by plotting Vageler's and Gapon's equations agreed with S obtained by leaching. The same type of curves resulted when the data were plotted, but when the best curve was drawn through the points and extrapolated the intercept was about 5.15 (S = 19.4  $m.e.$ ) 100 g. aoll). This correeponded to the value for S obtained by leaching Clarion loam Soil No. 1062.

#### 6. Application of Vageler's and Gapon's equations to exchangeable H<sup>+</sup> in hydrogen saturated Clarion loam, No. 1062.

The following experiments were performed to determine if,Vageler's and Oapon's equations could be applied to th© exchangeable hydrogen in the soil.

An H<sup>+</sup> saturated Clarion loam, No. 1062, was obtained by intermittent leaching of 100 g. of the soil with 50 m1»

**«. 58 •** 

### TABLE V

 $\bar{z}$ 

Bases Exchanged from Conover Silt Loam, No. 7C;<br>Fayette Silt Loam, No. 9C; Lindley Fine Sandy Loam, No. 11C;<br>and Millsdale Loam, No. 12C



 $-59 -$ 



 $\mathbf{r}$  $y_{m,0}/100g_{*}$ : S from Plotting\*  $\mathbf{m}_\bullet\mathbf{e}_\bullet$ **Base**  $g_{\bullet}$  $\bullet$ No. Soil\*\* Added  $Sol1$ :Vageler's:Gapon's:  $X - Y$  $\ddot{\bullet}$ 12.5  $\mathfrak{L}$ 25  $13.1$  $\boldsymbol{z}$  $12.5$ 100  $16.3$ 18.9 18.9  $19.2$   $19.8$ 3  $12.5$  $17.8$ 200  $\frac{4}{3}$ 25 12.5 18.8 18.9  $19.2 20.2$ 25  $\mathbf{5}$ 25 200  $17.7$  $\overline{6}$ 50 50  $12.2$  $18.5$ 18.7  $18.7$ 20.0 7 50 400  $17.4$ 

Bases Exchanged from Clarion Loam, No. 4C

\*S by leaching =  $18.8$ ,  $18.8$ ,  $18.8$ ; ave. =  $18.8$ .<br>\*\*Volume of solution was 125 ml. in experiments 1 to 5, inclusive, and 250 ml. in 6 and 7.

of normal HCl until the pH of the leachate was constant. This required about 1.5 liters of solution. The  $H^+$  saturated soil was then leached with two 50-ml. portions of 95% alcohol and dried overnight in an oven at  $105-110<sup>o</sup>G$ . The pH of the  $H^+$  saturated soil was 3.74 when determined in a  $1$  to 5 water suspension by a glass electrode.

Ten grams of  $h^+$  saturated No. 1062 was allowed to stand for twenty-four hours in 100-ml. solutions of WaCl containing from 10 to 400 m.e.  $\text{Na}^*$ . The solutions were shaken four or five times during the first twelve hours and then allowed to stand overnight. Fifty milliliters of the supernatant liquid was pipetted from the solution, the pH determined by the glass electrode method, and the  $H<sup>+</sup>$  liberated determined by titrating the solution with 0.2N NaOH to the end point of methyl orange.

The same experiment was carried out using CaCl<sub>o</sub> in place of MaCl. The data for these experiments are shown in Table VII. Pig. 15 and 16 show that Vageler's equation was much more satisfactory than Gapon's for exchangeable  $H^T$ . Since the  $N a^+$  and  $n^+$  are both monovalent, the reaction is  $XH + Na<sup>+</sup> \iff XNa + H<sup>+</sup>$  and Gapon's equation becomes  $\frac{1}{\Gamma} = \frac{1}{\Gamma_{\alpha}} + \frac{1}{K \Gamma_{\alpha}} (\frac{C_1}{C_2})$ .

It can be seen from Table VII that  $Ca<sup>++</sup>$  was more effective than Na<sup> $f$ </sup> in displacing H<sup>\*</sup> from the soil. This was especially true in the most dilute solution where

**- 61 -**



H<sup>+</sup> Exchanged from Hydrogen Saturated Clarion Loam



\*10 g. sqll in 100 ml. solution in each case.<br>\*\*PH of H sat. soil = 3.74.



 $\pmb{\mathbb{I}}$  $55$ 



10  $m_*e_*$  Ca<sup>++</sup> displaced more than twice as much H<sup>+</sup> as the  $10$  m.e.  $Na^+$ .

Pig. 15 shows that Vageler's equation was satisfactory for the data obtained by NaCl on the H<sup>+</sup> saturated soil. Gapon's equation did not give a straight line but curved to intercept the **y** axis at about the same point as Vageler's.

In Fig. 16 Vageler's and Gapon's equations were applied to the data for Ca<sup>++</sup> on H<sup>+</sup> saturated Clarion loam. The terras x and y In Vageler's equation **represent the**  mllllequivalent® Ga^"'" added and the **milliequlvalenta**  exchanged in 100 g. of soil, respectively. The reaction, according to Gapon, should be written XH +  $\frac{1}{2}$ Ca<sup>++</sup>  $\leq$  XCa<sub>2</sub> + H<sup>+</sup> and the equation should be  $\frac{1}{\Gamma} = \frac{1}{\Gamma_{\infty}} + \frac{1}{K_{\infty}} \left( \frac{C_1}{C_2} \right)$ . Since this equation produced such a sharply curved **line, the equation**   $\frac{1}{H} = \frac{1}{H} + \frac{1}{H} \left( \frac{C_1}{C_2} \right)$ , the same as used for  $N a^+$  on  $H^+$  saturated  $\frac{1}{2}$  =  $\frac{1}{2}$   $\frac{1}{4}$   $\frac{1}{4}$   $\frac{1}{4}$   $\frac{1}{4}$   $\frac{1}{2}$   $\frac{1}{2}$   $\frac{1}{2}$ soil, was tried and found to be much better than the equation using  $\frac{C_1}{\sqrt{C_0}}$ . In these equations, p represented the milliequivalents  $H^+$  exchanged, and  $C_1$  and  $C_2$  represented the equilibrium concentrations of  $H^+$  and  $Ca^{++}$ , respectively. Vageler's equation gave a line which curved slightly as it approached the **y** axis, whereas Gapon's equation  $\frac{1}{\Gamma} = \frac{1}{\Gamma} + \frac{1}{\Gamma}$ approached the y axis, whereas Gapon's equation  $\frac{1}{n} = \frac{1}{n}$ <br> $\frac{1}{k \infty} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}$  produced a curve whose change in slope became smaller as it approached the y axis. Both curves extrapolated to the y axis to give a total exchange capacity for  $H^*$  of 8.7 m.e. per 100 g. soil. This value, and also the

**- 65** 

value obtained with NaCl, agreed with the exchange capacity for Ca at approximately the same pH. (See Fig. 17.)

# 7. Effect of the pH of leaching solutions on the exchange capacity of Soil No. 1062.

Table VIII presents the base exchange capacities obtained when Soil So. 1062 was leached **with salt solutions of** varying pH'a. A 100 g, sample **of untreated, air-dry** 

#### **TABLE VIII**

Base Exchange Capacity of Soil No. **1062 When**  Saturated by Leaching with Solutions **at Different pH's** 



«Adjusted with HCl or  $Ca(OH)_{2}$  to give pH desired. #»100 g. soil.

Clarion loam, with a pH of 5.9 in a 5:1 solution and exchangeable bases by leaching of 19.1 m**.e. per** 100 g. soil, was placed in a Buchner funnel and leached with IN CaCl<sub>2</sub>

whose pH had been adjusted to approximately the desired value with HCl or  $Ca(OH)_{2}$ . The intermittent leaching was continued until the pH of successive leachates was constant and this value recorded. The salt solution was washed from the soil by leaching with a small amount of distilled water followed by 95% ethanol until no positive test for calcium could be obtained.

The exchangeable  $Ca^{++}$  held by the soil was determined by leaching 25 g. of  $Ca^{++}$  saturated soil with 500 ml. of **is** aimaonium acetate in 100-ml, portions and analyzing the leachate for  $0a^{++}$  by the volumetric oxalate method. It can be seen from Table VIII that the amount of exchangeable base held by the soil decreased rapidly as the pH of the saturating solution decreased. Fig. 17 shows that there was a linear relationship between the amount of base held by the soil and the pH of the leaching solution. It is of interest to note that the amount of exchangeable base held by the untreated soil (19.1  $m.e.,/100 g.$  soil) was practically the same as that which would have been retained by the soil if leached with a solution of CaClg at pH 5.9,

# 8. pH and buffering capacity of ammonium acetate solutions.

Since it was shown in Table VIII that the base exchange capaeiicy could be changed markedly by a small change in  $p_H$ , the  $p_H$  of the various ammonium acetate solutions

 $-67 -$ 


used was determined. Also, the effect of adding  $H^+$  to eaeh solution was noted and the data recorded in Table IX.

### **TABLE IX**

pH of Ammonium Acetate Solutions of Varying Concentrations with and without Acid Added



Eight solutions with volumes and amounts of ammonium acetate indicated In the first two columns of the table were made up and their pH determined by the glass electrode. To each solution was added one milliequivalent of  $H^+$  as HCl and the pH redetermined. This process was repeated to obtain the data in the last column.

A decrease in concentration of ammonium acetate caused a decrease in pH of the solution, and the addition of  $H^+$ 

ion was more effective in reducing the pH as the dilution increased. Also, as the volume of the solution increased, the difference in pH between the solutions containing 50 and  $400$   $m.e.$  ammonium acetate decreased.

## IV. DISCUSSION

It has been pointed out that Vageler's and Gapon's equations differ in two respects. Vageler's equation  $\frac{1}{y} = \frac{1}{5} + \frac{1}{50} (\frac{1}{x})$  does not take into account the effect of the displaced ion on the equilibrium or the effect of dilution. The  $\frac{V C_1}{C_2}$  term in Gapon's equation is conditioned by these two factors. Theoretically Gapon's equation is preferable and actually does give slightly better values for S and more nearly straight lines when graphed.

Vageler's omission of a term corresponding to  $C_1$  in Gapon's equation did not seem to be too important when large amounts of salt were used, that is, when  $C_1$  was small as compared with  $G_{\Omega*}$  However, the failure to express the displacing ion in terms of concentration caused a considerable difference between Gapon's and Vageler's equations. Dilution caused the slope of a line drawn between any two points to decrease slightly when  $\frac{{}^{V}C_1}{C_2}$ was plotted against  $\left(\frac{1}{y}\right)$  but the slope increased markedly when  $(\frac{1}{x})$  replaced  $\frac{{}^{\vee}C_1}{C_2}$ .

The data obtained clearly show that neither Vageler's nor Gapon's equation produced a straight line when applied to the data obtained from the unsaturated soils of Iowa. The question naturally arises as to why they fail under

**• 71** 

these conditions. The cause for the failure of the equation® to produce straight lines is apparently associated with the  $H^*$  in the soil and with the pH and buffering capacity of the various ammonium acetate solutions used.

Attention has been called to the straight lines produced hy Gapon's equation when the data, obtained by treating varying amounts of soil with the same volume and concentration of solution, were plotted. The lines converged to a point on the  $y$  axis, and the higher the concentration of the solution, the greater was the slope of the curve. The substitution of activities for concentrations in Gapon's equation failed to bring the lines in Fig. 7 closer together or to straighten appreciably the curves obtained in Fig. 1.

Table IX shows that the  $pH$  of dilute ammonium acetate solutions was lower than that of more concentrated solutions, and the difference in pH between them was still greater after the same amount of acid had been added to both. The hydrogen ion was shown (Table VIII) to be very effective in reducing the base exchange capacity of the soil. Sine© th® base exchange capacity was lowered **as** the pH of the solution in contact with the soil was lowered, it seemed probable that the amount of base liberated by **a**  given amount of displacing ion would be increased **as** the pH of the solution was decreased. If this was true, the

**- 72 -**

values obtained for  $\frac{1}{x}$  in dilute solutions would become less as the pH of these solutions decreased, and **the**  slope of the line would likewise be reduced.

**A** curved line resulted **when** Gapon's equation **was**  applied to data obtained from experiments **in which** the amount of soil was held constant and the **salt and water**  varied. As leas concentrated ajmonium **acetate** solutions were used, the **pH** decreased and the **amount of bases liber**ated was too largo **to** produce a straight **line when Gapon\*s**  equation was graphed. This excess in **bases** exchanged **from**  the soil might be explained by Schofield's (24) equations. The equation **ESiOH** =S10~ + would **be shifted**  to the left **by** a decrease in pH and the **ESiO" would**  lose its eapaolty to hold bases. In addition, **if the**  positive  $-A1^+$ OH was formed by the reaction  $H^+$  +  $-A1=0 \leq$  $-A1$ -OH, it would tend to neutralize the negative  $\equiv$ Si $\sigma$ and eliminate the bases from the complex.

From Fig. 1 it can be seen that Vageler's equation produced a curve that was approximately the same as Gapon's when the volume of solution and the amount of soil **were**  held constant. Since the two equations are so similar, the explanation given for the failure of Gapon's equation to produce a straight line in this case may also be given for the curves obtained when Vageler's equation was used.

 $-73 -$ 

Dilution had a moh greater effect on **a** solution containing 50  $m_*e_*$  ammonium acetate than on one containing  $400$  m.e. Thus the slope of the line connecting points on a graph corresponding to these two amounts of salt can change markedly with only slight change in the intercept on the y  $ax1s$ ,  $(\frac{1}{x})$ . When the soil-water ratio is changed by dilution, the value for S may or may not be constant, depending on the effect of dilution on the relative amount of bases liberated In the two experiments. A change in the soil-water ratio due to a variation in the amount of soil necessarily changes the value of S calculated from Vageler's equation if the amounts of salt are held constant. This happens because each different amount of soil is represented by a pair of points on **a** curved line.

Table IX shows that dilution caused the difference in pH between a solution containing 50 and 400  $m$ .e. ammonium acetate to become smaller. It follows that the greater the volume of solution for the same amounts of soil and salt, the more nearly straight should be the curve. Fig. 3 shows this to be the case. Varying amounts of soil and salt in 125, 250 and 400 ml. of solution produced three separate curves whose change In slope decreased as the volume of solution Increased.

When Gapon's equation was assumed to give a straight line and two points were used to determine the line. It

was noted that the values for S decreased as the amount of soil added to the two solutions of different concentration increased\* This was apparently du© **to** the **lower**  buffering capacity of the more dilute solution and **the**  consequent decrease in pH which caused an **exoesaive** amount of bases to be exchanged.

The equation  $\frac{1}{y} = \frac{1}{S} + \frac{1}{SC}(\frac{y}{x-y})$  was but little better than Vageler's since it did not correct for dilution effects. The same objection is true for the equation  $\frac{1}{x} = \frac{1}{5} + \frac{1}{50} (\frac{y^2}{x-y})$ . Although this last equation gave the most nearly straight line for a given volume of solution, the slope of the line changed with any alteration in the volume of the solution.

Airnnonium acetate was used in **the** experiments **for the**  reasons given by Schollenberger (25), namely, solutions of ammonium acetate in water were nearly neutral and moreover they possessed a certain buffering capacity **which**  tended to keep the pH of the solutions approximately the same as that of the soils tested. However, it appeared that the buffering capacity of ammonium acetate was not great enough to overcome the effect of change in pH on the base exchange capacity of soils tested.

In order to apply Gapon's equation to base exchange in the field, the amount of moisture must be known **so**  that  $C_1$  and  $C_2$  may be calculated. Instead of  $C_1$  and  $C_2$ 

in moles per liter, the terms  $\frac{y}{M}$  and  $\frac{x-y}{M}$  may be used, where  $y$  is the milliequivalents of base exchange per 100  $g<sub>e</sub>$  soil, x is the milliequivalents of displacing ion added to 100 g. soil, and M is the per cent moisture in the soil. If  $C_1$  is a divalent ion,  $\sqrt{\frac{N}{2M}}$  may be used, and the equation becomes

$$
\frac{1}{\Gamma} \text{ or } \frac{1}{y} = \frac{1}{\Gamma_{\infty}} + \frac{1}{K\Gamma_{\infty}} \left( \frac{\sqrt{y}}{\frac{x-y}{M}} \right).
$$

If  $y$  is small compared with  $x$ , then the equation may be written

$$
\frac{1}{y} = \frac{1}{\Gamma_{\infty}} + \frac{1}{K \Gamma_{\infty}} \sqrt{\frac{y}{2M}} ,
$$

but the determination of  $y$  when  $x$  is known involves the solution of a cubic equation. When the amount of displacing ion needed to liberate a given amount of base is calculated, the equation is again cumbersome since the value of K changes with the concentration of the displacing ion. Calculations by Vageler's equation are simple but lack the accuracy that Gapon's equation is capable of giving.

## **¥. SirMMARY**

1. Vageler's (29) equation for base exchange  $\frac{1}{y} = \frac{1}{5} + \frac{1}{5C} (\frac{1}{x})$  was tested on some Iowa soils. The data obtained did not produce a straight line on plotting when this equation was used. Although the same value for S was obtained when complete curves were drawn, the slope of the curves varied with the soil-water ratio.

2. Gapon's (11) equation for base exchange  $\frac{1}{n} = \frac{1}{n} + \frac{1}{n}$  ( $\frac{\sqrt{C}}{C}$ ) was tested and found to produce straight  $\overline{r}$  =  $\overline{r}$  +  $\overline{r}$   $\overline{r}$   $\overline{r}$   $\overline{c_2}$ lines only when the same concentration of the displacing ion was used. Straight lines with increasing slopes were obtained as the concentrations were increased.

3. The equation  $\frac{1}{y} = \frac{1}{5} + \frac{1}{50} (\frac{y^2}{x-y})$  produced a straight line for each soil-water ratio when x and y were expressed in milliequivalents per  $100$  g. soil.

4. Changes in soil-water ratio may or may not change the value of S obtained by plotting Vageler's equation when the equation is assumed to produce a straight **line**  and only two points are chosen to locate the **line.** 

5. The pH of leaching solutions had a marked effect on the base holding capacity of Clarion loam and the decrease in holding capacity was a linear function of the pH,

6» Vageler's equation proved satisfactory for exchangeable  $H^+$  from hydrogen saturated soil when a single soil-water ratio was used.

7. The failure of Gapon's equation to produce straight lines at various concentrations of displacing ion was attributed to the differences in pH and buffering power of the solutions and the consequent change in base exchange capacity.

#### VI. LITERATURE CITED

- !• Antipov-Karataev and Antipova-Karataeva, **Dotochaev Soli**  Inst, (U.S,S»R\*), 1935, p. 171-86. **Original not seen.**   $70. A. 30.5345 (1936)/.$
- 2. Aten, Proc. Acad. Sci. Amsterdam, 38, 441-9 (1935).
- 3. Barbier, Ann. Agron. (N.S. 7, 5, 765-80 (1935).
- 4. Brown and Walker, la. Agr. Expt. Sta. Kept, of **Agr.**  Research, 1932, p. 86-7.
- 5. Craig, Mauritius D©pt» Agr., Sugar **Cane Research Sta.,**  5, 21-39 (1934). Original not seen.  $\sqrt{C_0 A_0}$ , 30,  $201 (1936)7.$
- 6. Demolon and Barbier, Compt. rend.,  $188$ , 644-6 (1929).
- 7. Eaton and Sokoloff, Soil Sci., 40, 237-47 (1935).
- 8. Freundlich, Z. Physik. Chem., 57, 385-471 (1907). Original not seen.  $\angle$  0. A., 1, 1094 (1907)7.
- 9. Fudge, Soil Soi., 40, 269-84 (1935).
- 10. Ganssen, Centr. Mineral. Geol., 1913, p. 699-712, 728-41. Original not seen. In Jenny, J. Phys. Chem., 36, 2217-58 (1932).
- 11. Gapon, J. Gen. Chem. (U.S.S.R.), 3, 144-63 (1933).
- 12. , J. Gen. Chem. (U.S.S.R.), 3, 660-9 (1933).
- 13. Getraan and Baniela, "Outlines of Theoretical Chemistry," 5th ®d., John Wiley and Sons, Inc., New York, 1931, p» 238—42,
- 14. Greene, Trans. 3rd Intern, Gongr. Soil Sci, (Oxford,  $1935$ , 1, 63-5 (1935).
- 15. Hillkowitz, Z. Pflanzenernahr. Düngung Bodenk., 11A, 229-64 (19287;
- 16. Jenny, J. Phys. Chem., 36, 2217-58 (1932).
- 17. Kawashima, J. Sci. Scil Manure, Japan, 11, 455-7 (1937).

- 18. Langmuir, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 40, 1361-1403 (1918).
- 19. Marshall and Gupta, J, Soo. Ghem, Ind,, 52, 433-43T  $(1933)$ .
- 20. Mattson, Soil Sci., 32, 343-65 (1931).
- 21. Mitchell, J. Am. Soo, Agron., 24, 255-75 (1932).
- 22. Puri and Uppal, Soil Sci.,  $47$ , 245-53 (1938).
- 23. Pyranishinikov and Lukovnikov, Z. Pflanzenernähr. Düngung Bodenk., 10A, 232-7 (1928).
- 24. Sohofield, Soils and Pertllizera, 2, 1-5 (1939).
- 25. Schollenberger, Science, 65, 52-3 (1927).
- 26. Slater and Byers, U. S. Dept. Agr. Tech. Bull. no. 461  $(1934)$
- 27. Steenberg, Trans. 3rd Intern. Congr. Soil Sci. (Oxford,  $1935$ ), 1,  $198(1935)$ .
- 28. Tyulin and Bystrova, Trans. 2nd Intern. Congr. Soil Sci. (Leningrad, 1930), 2, 85-107 (1933).
- 29. Vageler and Woltersdorf, Z. Pflanzenernahr. Düngung Bodenk., 15A, 329-42 (1929).
- 30. Vanselow, Soil Sci., 33, 95-113 (1932).
- 31. Wiegner, J. Landw., 60, 111-59 (1912).
- 32. Williams, J. Agr. Soi., 845-51 (1932).

# PART II: ACID OXIDATION METHOD FOR DETERMINING SOIL CARBON

.

 $\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}$ 

## **I. INTRODUCTION**

The use of a wet oxidation method for the determination of carbon in organic substances was attempted as early as 1848 by Rogers and Rogers (27). Since that time many and varied methods have been tried with varying success. The wet oxidation method was not satisfactorily applied to soils until 1914 when Ames and Galther (5) reported a method using chromic-sulfuric acid. Several workers had previously tried ohromic-sulfuric acid oxidation but failed to obtain complete oxidation, apparently due to insufficient heating of the reaction mixture.

Since 1914 a number of methods have been devised, both for complete oxidation to  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  and for partial oxidation. The latter methods require the use of a factor to convert the amount of oxidation obtained to that which would have been obtained had the reaction gone to completion, The complete oxidation methods meet with the objections that they are too time consuming or require too complicated an apparatus. The factor used in the partial oxidation methods is not constant. It may vary for different soils or for different horizons of the same soil.

Although the dry combustion method for determining carbon In soils is without doubt the most accurate, the apparatus is expensive and the procedure time consuming.

 $-82 -$ 

The dry combustion method is not readily applicable to the determination of carbon in soil extracts.

With the objections to the existing methods in mind, it seemed advisable to attempt to devise a wet oxidation method which would completely oxidize the soil carbon and measure the  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  liberated in a relatively short time and in a aimple, inexpensive apparatus.

# II. HISTORICAL

A ®uccessfiul wet oxidation method for the determination of carbon was reported by Rogers and Rogers (27) in 1848. Graphite samples were oxidized in a sulfuric acid, potassium dichromate solution after they had been ground with 30-35 times their weight of silica. If the grinding was efficient the sample could be oxidized completely in thirty to forty minutes. Heat waa applied directly to the reaction mixture with a lamp and the COg liberated was absorbed by KOH in a Liebig tube and determined gravimetrically.

Warrington and Peake {36) attempted to apply a similar method to the determination of soil carbon but came to the conclusion that complete oxidation could not be obtained. Their procedure differed in two points from Rogers and Rogers, both of which would tend to decrease the efficiency of the oxidation. Warrington and Peake used a  $3-2$  sulfuric acid  $\rightarrow$  water solution and heated the reaction mixture only to the temperature of a water bath. They carried out the digestion for four to five hours or "as long as there was any action," but only 72-83% of the carbon was liberated by this treatment.

Another fairly early attempt to apply wet oxidation to soils was made by Cameron and Breazeale (9). Their

**84** 

procedure called **for the use of ooncentrated sulfuric acid**  and for application of **heat for ten minutes after fuming**  started# Low results **were** obtained, probably **due to lack of** sufficient digestion **and** to the **decomposition of chromic**  acid which occurs in **hot** concentrated **sulfuric acid. If**  carbonates were present they were **removed** by **treating with**  a 1:6  $H_2SO_d$  solution. It was their opinion that the values for carbon obtained by wet oxidation **were more**  representative of the carbon **held as humus than dry com**bustion values.

There have been several wet combustion methods described which liberate and measure all the carbon present in the soil. Aiaes and Gaither (5) were the first **to show**  that complete oxidation of soil carbon could be obtained by a ohromic-sulfurlc acid solution. The method proposed by Adams **(1)** determined the total amount **of** carbon present by means of a fairly simple, easily made apparatus, but the time necessary to make a determination was **from forty Minutes** to one hour. However, the apparatus was such that a series of ten or twelve detenainatlons could **be**  run simultaneously.

Alper  $(4)$  introduced the use of an evacuated absorption flask for the collection of the liberated carbon dioxide. She found that complete absorption **was** not **ob**tained in a tower unless the rate **of** aspiration **was slow.**  The substitution of the evacuated absorption flask **for** the

**« 85 \*•** 

absorption tower made It possible to carry out the oxidation more rapidly. Alper's apparatus and procedure are more complicated than Adams\* since they include the use of a hot combustion unit and a manometer. Alper was able to carry out the digestion of the saaple and absorption of the CO<sub>2</sub> in thirty minutes. Both methods of analysis apparently give results that are as accurate as standard methods for sampling soils,

Christensen, Simkins and Hiatt (10) reported a wet oxidation **Method** for soil samples of approximately 0,25 **g,**  which required twenty minutes to complete, but the results obtained were in error by as much as  $10\%$ . The carbon dioxide liberated was absorbed in standard barium hydroxide solution, and the excess barium hydroxide was titrated with standard acid using thymol blue indicator. They found that the addition of 5 ml, of **acetone** sharpened the end point of the indicator.

In addition to the chromic-sulfuric acid oxidation, several other methods of determining total carbon in soil have been employed. Robinson, McLean and Williams (26) absorbed the SO<sub>2</sub> from a Kjeldahl digestion in a standard iodine solution and titrated the excess iodine. Each atom of carbon present was equivalent to two molecules of  $S_2$  or four atoms of iodine. They found that unless the soils were ground to pass a 100-mesh sieve, low results

**• 86 ••** 

were obtained. One point in favor of the method is that carbonates do not interfere with the reaction. Both acid and alkaline KMnO<sub>4</sub> oxidations have been tried. Puri (24) reported an alkaline  $KMD<sub>A</sub>$  oxidation method in which the excess permanganate was titrated after the reaction mixture had been boiled for ten minutes. Incomplete oxidation was obtained necessitating the use of a factor to convert the per cent carbon obtained to the per cent present as shown by dry combustion. Nostitz (21) was not able to obtain complete oxidation of soil carbon by  $K M n O_d$  in an acid solution when the solution was boiled for fifteen minutes.

Hardy (18) and Cross and Bevan (11) reported gasometric methods for the determination of soil carbon. The volume of gas collected was the same whether complete oxidation to  $CO<sub>Q</sub>$  was obtained or whether some of the gas remained in the form of **CO\*** Both methods used chromic acid a® an oxidizing agent in sulfuric acid solutions, but they failed to give results that were as high as those obtained by dry combustion.

The earlier methods used either concentrated sulfuric acid or sulfuric acid diluted with varying amounts of water. Since chromic acid decomposes in hot concentrated sulfuric acid, it was found advisable to dilute the acid. If the chromic acid decomposed, the sulfuric acid acted as the oxidizing agent and liberated **SOg.** Schollenberger (28) found that **SOg** prevented the complete absorption of **OOg** 

**• 87 -**

in barium hydroxide in a bead tower absorption. He suggested the dilution of sulfuric acid with phosphoric acid in order to maintain a high boiling point and still prevent the decomposition of chromic acid.

Since both  $SO_{\odot}$  and  $SO_{\odot}$  act to neutralize the absorbing solution, they must either be prevented from forming, separated from the  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  before it reaches the absorbing unit, or corrected for by precipitation and double titration. Schollenberger (28) pointed out that the dilution of sulfuric acid with phosphoric minimized the formation of fumes during the digestion of the sample. Tiurin  $(32)$ , White and Holben (37) and Cameron and Breazeale (9) passed the gases through concentrated sulfuric acid in order to remove both  $SO_2$  and  $SO_3$ . Adams (1) led the gases through glass wool saturated with constant boiling sulfuric acid. A dry U-tube absorption of  $SO_2$  and  $SO_3$  was effected by Heck (19) by saturating pumice with constant boiling sulfuric acid. Alper  $(4)$  corrected for the absorption of  $SO_2$  and  $SO_3$  by the following procedure. The gases were allowed to be absorbed by barium hydroxide solution and the excess barium hydroxide neutralized to phenolphthalein with 0.2N HCl. Five milliliters of hydrogen peroxide was added and the solution boiled for two minutes in order to oxidize any BaSO<sub>3</sub> to BaSO<sub>4</sub>. Excess 0.2N HCl was added, the solution boiled to expel  $CO<sub>Q</sub>$  and the excess acid titrated with O.2M NaOH to methyl red end point.

**- 88 -**

Chlorine or chromyl chloride must be prevented from reaching the ahsorption chamber if accurate results are to be expected from any of the wet oxidation methods. In Crowther's report  $(12)$ , it was mentioned that  $Cr0<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>$ was formed when soils containing large amounts of chlorides were analyzed by Schollenberger's, Tiurin's and Walkley and Black's methods. The two most common methods for eliminating the error due to chlorides have been the addition of silver or mercury salts to the reaction flask and the absorption of chlorine gas by passing it through or over various absorbents. Tiurin (32) and Walkley (34) recommended the addition of silver sulfate to the chromicsulfuric acid solution to prevent the liberation of chlorine. Garbarov (17) claimed that if the chloride content of the soil was above  $0.2\%$ , the addition of silver sulfate would not eliminate the error due to the presence of chlorine. Subrahmanyan, Narayanayya and Bhagvat (31) added silver sulfate, mercuric sulfate or mercuric oxide to the digestion mixture to correct for the presence of chlorides, Walkley (34), Ames and Gaither (5) and Cameron and Breazeale (9) led the  $CO_2$  through a solution of  $Ag_2SO_4$  in  $H_2SO_4$  in order to remove any chlorine present. A bead tower containing NaHSO<sub>3</sub> was used by Alper  $(4)$  and a U-tube containing pumice saturated with an  $AggSO_4$  solution served to remove chlorine in Heck's (19) method. A KI absorption unit was used to remove chlorine in the method of Christensen,

Simkins and Hiatt (10).

The failure to remove or correct for chlorides in the soil usually caused high results. However, Crowther  $(13)$  in the second report of the Organic Carbon Committee mentioned that he had received a report from J. M. Shewan stating that a saline soil gave low results by a wet oxidation method. The chlorine formed in the procedure was removed by passing the gases over powdered  $PbCrO_4$ . Walkley (34) obtained low results in both wet oxidation and dry combustion methods when increasing amounts of chloride were added. The soil samples were treated with  ${\rm Ag_2SO_4}$  in H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> solutions before wet oxidations were run. This procedure tied up the chlorides present in samples that contained less than 2.5% chlorine.

Rogers and Rogers (27) claimed that it was necessary to wet thoroughly the silica-graphite mixture before adding sulfuric acid for the wet oxidation. In the determination of nitrogen by the KJeldahl method, Bal (7) noted that wetting the sample before the digestion produced higher results in heavy clay soils. He attributed the low results obtained from the dry samples to the formation of aggregates due to the dehydrating effect of concentrated sulfuric acid on the silica in the soil. The aggregates were thought to protect mechanically part of the operanic matter originally present In the soil. Walkley (34) was able to find very little effect due to the addition of

**» 90 »** 

water before digestion of the sample except in heavy alkaline soils.

The method used at the Budapest laboratory, as reported by Crowther (12), passed the gases through a hot CuO tube to insure complete oxidation to  $CO_{2*}$  Other workers have thought that all the carbon was not oxidized completely in wet oxidation prosedures and have used **a** dry **combuation**  unit. Alper (4) checked on the need of a dry combustion unit and found that only slightly higher results were obtained when it was used# Ohristeneen, Simkina and **Hiatt (10) made**  use of a slow combustion unit of the type used in gas analysis in order to insure the absence of any  $00$ .

S®Teral oatalysta have been found **which apparently**  speed up the rate of oxidation in chromic-sulfurio acid solution, the report by Growther **(12)** mentioned **the use**  of mercury at the Budapest laboratory and Ag<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> at the Leningrad laboratory. Komarova (20) used **HgSO**, as a oatalyst and Alp®r **(4)** used **a** mlxtur® of **10 parts seleniuia**  to 100 parts K<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> to aid the potassium dichromate oxidation.

Instead of sweeping the system with **COg-free air,**  Adams (1) used a stream of oxygen to flush the carbon dioxide into the absorbent and to aid in the oxidation. Degtjareff (14) added  $H_2O_2$  to aid the chromic-sulfurio

 $-91 -$ 

acid oxidation. He claimed complete oxidation in one minute of vigorous swirling in this mixture. In this method the excess chromic acid was titrated with standard  $FeSO_{\bf A}$  solution using diphenylamine indicator. He realized that the  $H_2O_2$  decomposed the chromic acid and consequently subtracted a blank from the titration values for soils. Walkley and Black (35) stated that the reaction between H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> and chromic acid was different in the presence of soil than in pure solutions. Since the presence of  $H_2O_2$ decomposed and weakened the concentration of the chromic acid, Walkley and Black considered that the only function of the  $H_2O_2$  was the addition of water which would generate heat when mixed with the concentrated sulfuric acid solution.

It is often desirable to distinguish between carbonate carbon and organic carbon in soils. The chromicsulfuric acid wet oxidation methods decompose the carbonates with the liberation of  $CO_{2*}$  In such methods it is necessary either to destroy the carbonates before oxidation or correct the final results for the carbonates present. In Crowther's (12) report two methods for correcting for carbonates were given. In one method the carbonate carbon was determined by boiling the soil in an HCl solution containing  $FeCl<sub>2</sub>$  at a reduced pressure. The other method decomposed the carbonates by aeration in dilute sulfuric acid for forty-five minutes or by boiling

**- 92 -**

in dilute sulfuric acid for five minutes at a pressure of 5-6 cm. Hg. Schollenberger, in Growther's (13) second report, stated that sulfurous acid was the only one satisfactory for the removal of carbonates because it was a reducing agent and prevented oxidation by manganese oxides. Tiurin (32) aerated the soil with 1:1  $H_2SO_4$  for thirty minutes to remove carbonates before adding KgCrgOy and  $Ag_2SO_4$ . A 1:6  $H_2SO_4$  solution was used to remove carbonates by Cameron and Breazeale (9), but Subrahmanyan (30) claimed that  $H_3PO_4$  was better than  $H_2SO_4$  for the decomposition of carbonates. The official A.O.A.C. method (6) calls for the use of SnCl<sub>2</sub> in HCl for the determination of carbonates in the  $s$ oil.

The two most common methods used to determine the CO<sub>2</sub> in wet oxidations have been absorption and weighing, and absorption and titration of the excess absorbing agent. Of the titration methods, the absorption of COg in standard Ba(OH)<sub>2</sub> and titration of the excess Ba(OH)<sub>2</sub> is the simplest method. Adams (1) used phenolphthalein indicator for this titration whereas Christensen, Simkins and Hiatt (10) preferred thymol blue indicator. Although Alper (4) did not use standard Ba(OH)<sub>2</sub>, she absorbed the CO<sub>2</sub> in Ba(OH)<sub>2</sub> and neutralized the excess using thymolphthalein. Ames and Gaither (5) absorbed the  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  in 4% NaOH and determined the amount of CO<sub>2</sub> by a double titration using phenolphthalein

and methyl orange. Adams (1) compared the double titration method using NaOH with the single titration method using  $Ba(OH)_{2}$  and found both to be satisfactory. Friedman and Kendall (15) absorbed the CO<sub>2</sub> in NaOH, precipitated the carbonate with BaCl<sub>2</sub> and titrated the excess NaOH with standard HCl using phenolphthalein indicator. The titration was modified by Alper (4) to correct for the presence of any absorbed  $SO_2$ . This was done by neutralizing the excess Ba(OH)<sub>2</sub> to thymolphthalein, adding 5 ml. of  $H_2O_2$ and boiling for two minutes to insure oxidation of BaSO<sub>3</sub> to BaSO $_A$ . Methyl red indicator was then added, a measured amount of standard HCl was added in excess, the solution was boiled to expel CO<sub>2</sub> and the excess HCl titrated with NaOH. Alper stated that thymol blue could be used instead of thymolphthalein in the titration just described. The BaCOg precipitate was allowed to settle four hours and an aliquot of the supernatant liquid pipetted for analysis in the official A.O.A.C. method (6). The simple, direct titration of the excess  $Ba(OH)_{Q}$  with HCl, using phenolphthaleln indicator, was concluded by Partridge and Schroeder (23) to be the best means of determining  $CO<sub>o</sub>$ . Other methods tested were NaOH or KOH using a double titration, NaOH plus BaCl<sub>2</sub> in a single titration, freezing out the  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  and a conductimetric method in which the reduction in conductivity of a  $Ba(OH)_{Q}$  solution was measured.

Probably the most used rapid titration method for determining soil carbon is the Walkley-Black method. Browning (8) tested the Walkley-Black method on 50 samples and found that the per eent carbon ranged from 92.9 to 110.1 as compared to dry combustion data. Twenty-three of the samples gave values that were within  $5\%$  of the dry combustion data. 39 were within  $5\%$  and 45 were within  $6\%$ . Mineteen samples tested by Walkley  $(34)$  ranged in per cent oxidation from 60 to 86 with an average of 75.8. In Crowther's (12) report it was noted that the range of values obtained by the Walkley-Black titration could be lowered from  $91-110\%$  to  $97-106\%$  by applying heat to the reaction. Purvis and Higson (25) made a time-temperature study of the chromic acid oxidation of soil organic matter and found that decomposition of the chromic acid occurred when held at  $140^{\circ}$ C. or above for a period of ten minutes. They recommended the use of an electric oven and heating the samples to  $175^{\circ}$ . for three minutes. Another modification of the Walkley-Black procedure, used by Novak and Peliaek (22), was to allow the aample to stand for one hour before the addition of Mohr's salt and titration with standard K2Cr207.

The rapid titration methods which require some heating of the digestion mixture are really modifications of Schollenberger's (29) method published in 1927. This method called for heating  $0.5 g_*$  soil to 175 $^0$ C. for ninety

**^ 95 -**

seconds in concentrated  $H_2SO_4$  saturated with  $K_2Cr_2O_V$ . The reaction mixture was then cooled, diluted and the excess K<sub>2</sub>Cr<sub>2</sub>0<sub>7</sub> titrated. Allison (3) proposed a slight modification In Schollenberger's method in which 0.1961 g. of K<sub>2</sub>Cr<sub>2</sub>O<sub>7</sub> was added to 10 ml. of concentrated H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> rather than using a saturated solution of the dichromate.

The investigation of the Organic Carbon Committee of the International Congress of Soil Science in a report by Crowther (18) showed that a method proposed by Tlurln was superior to both the Walkley-Black and Schollenberger methods. This method required that  $0.1$  to  $0.5$  g. of soil be boiled for five minutes in a 1:1 H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> solution containing enough  $K_Q Cr_Q$ O<sub>7</sub> to make it approximately  $0.4M$ . Garbarov (16) made a comparison of several methods and concluded that Tiurin's method was the best for mass analyses where only moderately accurate results were desired,

Growther's (12) report summarizes the investigation of the rapid titration methods by giving the per cent range obtained for each method when the values were compared with those found by dry combustion. The ranges for the per cent carbon were as follows: Walkley-Black, 91-110; Schollenberger, 97-108; Tiurin, 95-107; Walkley-Black plus heat, 97-106; and a method similar to Tiurin's in which  $3:2$  H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> containing Ag<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> was used, 99-103.

A rapid titration method which used  $KMD<sub>A</sub>$  as oxidizing agent gave results which ranged from 92 to 108% carbon.

Allison (3) made a study of deviation In per cent carbon between alternate soil samples taken from the same plot. Twenty-eight samples were taken and the difference in per cent carbon between alternate samples was less than  $2\%$  in 19 cases, between 2 and  $3\%$  in 6 cases and greater than  $3\%$  in 3 cases. The deviations are based on results obtained by his modificatlon of Schollenberger's method. If Allison's values for per cent carbon were correct, the degree of accuracy of a method should be at least 2% and probably 1% in order to keep the error in the analysis less than the deviation between samples.

The rapid methods thus far mentioned require the use of a factor to convert the values obtained by titration to the per cent carbon obtained by dry combustion, Degtjareff (14) proposed a rapid titration method in which he claimed to oxidize completely the carbon in one minute by the addition of  $H_2O_2$  to the chromic-sulfuric acid solution. Walkley and Black (35) and Allison (3) were not able to obtain satisfactory results with Degtjareff's method and pointed out that the reaction between  $H_2$ CrO $_4$  and  $H_2$ O<sub>2</sub> was not the same in the presence of soil as in the absence of soil. Since the results obtained by Degtjareff's method had to be corrected for

 $-97 -$ 

the effect of  $H_2O_2$  on the  $H_2CrO_4$  by running a blank, an error was introduced because the reaction in the blank and actual determination was not the same.

Christensen, Simkins and Hiatt (10) compared  $KIO_{\mathcal{R}}$  with K<sub>2</sub>Cr<sub>2</sub>07 as an oxidizing agent and were able to obtain slightly better results with the  $KIO_3$ .

The recent trend has been to report the results as per cent carbon rather than as organic matter. Alexander and Bjera (2) were not able to find a satisfactory method for determining organic matter in the soil and came to the conclusion that the factor 1.728 for converting per cent carbon to organic matter was not reliable.

The work described in the following section was undertaken in an attempt to develop a method for determining soil carbon by wet oxidation. A procedure was desired which would be more accurate than the rapid titration methods and more rapid than the present methods which give complete oxidation of the soil carbon.

**- 98 -**

## III. EXPERIMENTAL

# A. Apparatus

The apparatus is shown in Fig. 1. Two 6-inch absorption towers A were filled with ascarite held in place by loose plugs of cotton. These towers were connected to the second dropping funnel  $G$  by a rubber tubing. The addition of the acid through dropping funnel B eliminated the removal of the rubber stopper in C and thus gave no opportunity for the stopper to come in contact with the concentrated sulfuric-phosphorie acid solution. The stem of C was bent at an angle of about  $50^\circ$  and was long enough to reach to the bottom of the digestion flask. Digestion flask D, which was heated with a semi-micro burner, was a 50-ml. side arm distilling flask and was tipped at such an angle that the condensate in tube G would drain back into the flask. The side arm, bent through an angle of approximately  $75^{\mathsf{O}}$ , was connected to the heavy walled rubber tube  $E$  and made air tight by placing a copper wire tourniquet on E. This allowed the flask D to be shaken gently when necessary. An asbestos sheet F about three inches square was allowed to rest on E in order to deflect the heat from the burner and keep the tube G from becoming too hot. It was advisable to have a small bulb in G in

**- 99 •** 

order to prevent water froai **being** carried into **the reser**voir\* The reservoir H was connected to G and K **by means**  of short pieces of rubber tubing. A glass**-to-glass connec**tion Inside **the** rubber tubes connecting G to H and D was desirable.

The reservoir had a three-way stopcock at the top (which was at first turned to open G to H), **a** two**-way**  stopcock at the bottom, and was joined to the leveling bottle I by means of a rubber tubing. The capacity of the reservoir H was about 200 ml, and the leveling bottle I about 250 ml. The reservoir was filled with **a** confining liquid of a 3:1 glycerin-water solution.

The absorption flask ll was **a** SOO-ml, **Erlenmeyer flask**  fitted with **a** two-hole rtibber stopper. Through **one hole**  was placed a glass tube which **was** connected **to H, A glass**  plug closed the second hole but **was** replaced **by an as**carlte tube or by the tip of a burette as required. **The**  screw clsunp J was used to hold **a** vacuum in K **while it**  was being connected to the reservoir.

The stopcocks in the two dropping funnels B and C were lubricated with the  $H_2SO_4-H_3PO_4$  solution and those in the reservoir H with glycerin. The stopcock in C remained open. The upper stopcock **in H** was turned to connect **H and**  D except during the transfer of the gas to K, The stopcock in B was open only when adding acid to the digestion flask.



 $\pmb{\mathbb{I}}$ 

 $\overline{101}$ 

 $\mathbf{I}$ 

**Fig. 1. Apparatus for Carbon Determination** 

### **B. Procedure**

After the apparatus was assembled, the digestion flask  $D$  was disconnected and an air-dry soil sample containing between 10 and 30 mg. of carbon (usually from  $0.5$  to  $2.0 \text{ g}$ . of soil) was placed in the flask. One to two grams of powdered, reagent grade  $K_2$ Cr<sub>2</sub>0<sub>7</sub> was added and the two solids washed Into the bottom of the flask with 2 or 3 ml. of distilled water.

The digestion flask was connected to the apparatus and 25 ml. of a  $60:40$  sulfuric-phosphoric acid mixture (60 ml# of conc# sulfuric acid plus 40 ml. **of 85^ phos**phoric acid) added to the top dropping funnel **D. The**  stopcock In B was opened and the acid drawn into the digestion flask by opening **the** lower stopcock in **H** and allowing the confining liquid to drain slowly from **the**  reservoir into the leveling bottle. The stopcock in **B** was then closed.

Heat was now applied quite rapidly to the contents of the digestion flask and the lower stopcock in H was adjusted so that two bubbles per second of  $CO<sub>Q</sub>$ -free air from the asoarite towers were sucked through the digestion mixture. The contents of the flask were shaken for one to two minutes during the initial vigorous action. Strong heating was continued until the digestion mixture began to froth and this temperature was maintained until

approximately 25 ml. of glycerin solution remained in the reservoir. The source of heat was then removed, hut air was sucked through the system until the glycerin was all but drained from the reservoir. The lower stopcock in H was then closed.

Before the absorption flask K was attached to the apparatus, approximately 5 ml. of acetone was added and the flask (including the screw clamp J) connected to a water aspirator at J. A calcium chloride tube (filled with ascarite held in place by loose cotton plugs) was inserted in the stopper, and a slow current of  $CO_2$ -free air was drawn through the flask for about five minutes. The suction was stopped, the calcium chloride tube removed, and in its place the  $Ba(OH)_{\odot}$  burette inserted so that the tip protruded below the stopper. Fifty milliliters of standard Ba(OH) $_{2}$ , approximately 0.2N, was added and the glass plug placed in the hole, fhe flask K was now evacuated by the water pump until the acetone-Ba(OH)<sub>2</sub> solution boiled vlgorouslyi the screw clamp J was then closed. To conserve time, the charging and evacuation of the absorption flask were carried out during the first part of the digestion,

The evacuated Erlenmeyer K was joined to the reservoir at  $J$ . The upper stopcock in H was turned to connect H and Kg the screw clamp J opened and the lower stopcock in H turned to allow the confining liquid to be drawn into the

**- 103 -**

reservoir. When the reservoir was almost filled with the glycerin solution, the lower stopcook was closed, the screw clamp J closed and the upper stopcock turned to connect the digestion flask and the reservoir. Heat was applied as before and air drawn in by draining the glycerin from  $H_{\bullet}$ The system was swept with the  $CO<sub>Q</sub>$ -free air at the rate of 4 to 6 bubbles per second until the reservoir was once more almost filled with gas. The gas was transferred to the absorption flask in the manner already described. After the reservoir had been completely filled with the confining liquid the absorption flask was brought to room pressure by slowly turning the upper stopcock in H to connect H with the digestion flask D. To insure complete absorption of GOg the upper stopcock in H was closed, flask K shaken and then the stopcock opened to connect K with the digestion flask. If the CO<sub>2</sub> had not been completely absorbed, air was seen to bubble through the digestion mixture, in which case the procedure was repeated until no more absorption occurred. After insuring complete absorption, the flask K was disconnected, the glass plug removed, 4 or 5 drops of thymol blue indicator  $(0.2%$  solution in 50% alcohol) added by means of a medicine dropper, and the tip of the acid burette was inserted. The excess  $Ba(OH)_{2}$  was titrated with standard  $O.2M$  HCl until the indicator color changed from blue to yellow.
The procedure as described, including the weighing of the sample and the titration, required about twentyfive minutes. The following catalysts were used in an attempt to find one which would accelerate the reaction:  $Hg_{2}SO_{4}$ ,  $Hg$ ,  $Ag_{2}SO_{4}$ , Se, and  $H_{2}F_{2}$ . None of these had any marked effect on the time required to make a determination on soil. It was noted that low results were obtained if the dellfery tube of the dropping funnel C did not extend below the surface of the acid in the digestion flask. It was thought that the  $O_2$  in the air might be aiding the reaction since Adams (1) used a stream of  $O_{\mathbf{Q}}$  to speed up the oxidation. Since  $H_2O_2$  reacts with  $H_2CrO_4$  to liberate  $\alpha xygen$ , it was decided to add  $H_2O_2$  to the reaction mixture. fhre® milliliters of 5^ SgOg **was** added to the top dropping funnel B and allowed to enter the digestion flask at the rate of one drop every two seconds during the collection of the second reservoir of gas. This procedure allowed the determination to be completed in less than twenty minutes. When  $H_2O_2$  was used, the rate at which  $CO_2$ -free air was drawn through the apparatus was Increased to 3 to 4 and 8 to 10 bubbles per second for the first and second parts of the digestion, respectively.

A blank run was made by adding well ignited noncalcareous soil and carrying out the procedure as described. The ignited soil was used because it was found that the temperature of the reaction mixture was not as high when

**"» 105 •** 

soil particles were present, and the blank was consequently lower. When pure organic substances were determined by this procedure, the blank was run without the addition of  $1$  $g$ nited soil.

#### C, Results

#### 1. Determination of total carbon.

In order to ahow that the method **was** applicable to different types of soil, several soils were analyzed and the data compared with those obtained by dry combustion. The values obtained are reported in Table I. Soil No. **P50-10** was **a** calcareous subsoil, whereas the rest of the soils were non-calcareous topsoils. The data presented show that the per cent error for a single determination was less than the probable error due to sampling.

A series of determinations were made on Soil No. 1062 in order to determine the precision of the method. The results obtained were g.70, **2.70,** 2,71, 2.69, **2.70, 2.70,**  2.71, 2.68, 2.69, 2.70, 2.73, and 2.68 per cent carbon.

Samples of pure organic compounds were analyzed for carbon as **a** further check on the accuracy of the method. Bensoic acid was used In order to determine if there **was**  a possibility of volatilization of organic acids before complete oxidation by the chromic acid. Sucrose was analyzed to determine whether or not the procedure would





Total Carbon in Soils\*

\*Samples and dry combustion data supplied by Dr. Roy W.<br>Simonson of the Department of Agronomy, Iowa State<br>College, Ames, Iowa.<br>\*\*All data given on the dry weight basis.

be satisfactory for a compound which carbonized upon contact with the oxidizing solution. Determinations were made on thiourea since it contained sulfur which would interfere if it escaped from the reaction mixture before being converted to the sulfate. It will be seen in Table II that the method is capable of analyzing all three types of compound®.

It was desired to determine the efficiency of the method on extremely stable material such as coal and charcoal• It can be seen from Table II that the method as described will not liberate all the carbon in coal and charcoal but will oxidize enough of the carbon so that any charcoal in burnt-over timberland soils will be at least 90-95% oxidized.

Table III presents the values obtained when the amount of soil,  $K_2Cr_2O\gamma$  and chromic-sulfuric acid solution was varied. As the amount of soil was increased, the amount of both acid and  $K_2$ Cr<sub>2</sub>O<sub>7</sub> had to be increased. It should be noted that too much water may be added to the digestion mixture as was the case in the third experiment using 0.5 g. of soil. Although 15 ml. of acid and one gram of  $K_2$ Cr<sub>2</sub>O<sub>7</sub> are theoretically more than enough to oxidize one gram of soil, complete oxidation could not be obtained when these amounts were used. The low results may be attributed either to some carbon remaining in the

**- 108 -**

## TABLE II

### The Determination of Carbon in Pure Organic Compounds and Coal



 $\sim$ 

 $\Delta \sim 10^7$ 

\*Theoretical values.<br>\*\*When no catalyst was added a 1000-ml. absorption flask<br>was used for coal analyses.<br>\*\*\*Per cent ignition loss.

# TABLE III

Effect of Varying the Amount of Soil, Acid,  $K_2Cr_2O_7$  and Water





\*All analyses were made on air-dry samples except those marked  $#$ , which were oven-dry samples. \*\*Results for Soils No. 1062 and II-C are on the air-dry

basis; results for Soils No. P26-1 and P51-1 are on the oven-dry basis.

solution or to the volatilization of incompletely oxidized material.

#### 2. The effect and removal of chlorides.

In the usual wet oxidation methods, chlorides cause high results unless they are either removed or the figures corrected. When large amounts of chlorides were present, red CrO<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> fumes and deposits on the digestion flask were noted. However, these changed to pale green fumes as the temperature was increased and no red fumes were seen

entering the reservoir. In the method presented here chlorine (and possibly some  $Cro_2Cl_2$ ) was removed by reaction with KI in the glycerin solution. Small amounts of chloride have little effect on the determination, but the presence of large quantities cause the results to be low, Table IV shows that the decrease in per cent carbon obtained was proportional to the amount of chloride added. This effect of chlorides was not peculiar to soil but was also noted on pure organic compounds. The addition of silver and mercury salts to the reaction mixture failed to prevent the formation and liberation of chlorine.

#### 3. Freliminary removal of carbonates.

No attempt was made in the procedure already described to separate the organic from the inorganic carbon in the soil. If the carbonates are not removed before the analysis is made, the amount of carbonate carbon must be determined by a separate experiment. By a relatively easy change in the procedure it was possible to remove the carbonates and detemine the organic carbon present.

The digestion flask was separated from the rest of the apparatus and an air-dry soil sample was introduced. Three milliliters of a solution containing  $5\%$  H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> and 5% FeSO<sub>4</sub> was added and the mixture boiled for one minute. fhe flask and contents were then cooled under the tap and the acid neutralized with one milliliter of saturated

 $-112 -$ 

### TABLE IV

The Effect of Chlorides on the Determination of Carbon



 $\sim$ 

 $\mathcal{L}(\mathbf{z})$  ,  $\mathcal{L}(\mathbf{z})$  ,

 $\mathbf{v} = \mathbf{v}$ 

CO<sub>O</sub>-free KOH. Potassium dichromate was added through a long stem funnel, the digestion flask connected to the apparatus and the procedure carried out as in the determination of total carbon. Neutralization of the acid by KOH may be omitted if the KoCroo, is first dissolved in the sulfuric-phosphoric acid solution.

#### **TABLE V**



Determination of Organic Carbon in Soils

\*0.495 obtained by subtracting carbonate carbon from the total carbon by dry combustion.<br>\*\*25 mg. powdered CaCO<sub>3</sub> added.

Twenty-five milligrams of powdered CaCO<sub>3</sub> was mixed with one-gram samples of non-calcareous Soil No. 1062. The soil was treated as described above using  $5\%$  H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>, 5%  $H_3PO_4$  or 5%  $H_2SO_4$  plus 5% FeSO<sub>4</sub>. The 5%  $H_3PO_4$  was not as effective as  $H_2SO_4$ . The reducing agent FeSO<sub>4</sub> was added to prevent oxidation of organic matter by pyrolusite or any other oxidizing agent that might be present in the soil. Soil No. P50-10 was a calcareous Webster subsoil containing  $0.80\%$  total carbon by dry combustion. The results obtained in these experiments are presented in Table ¥.

## 4. Wet oxidation with the addition of H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>.

Although most of the data presented were obtained without the use of  $H_QO_{2}$ , Table VI shows that considerable time could have been saved by using  $H_{2}O_{2}$  without impairing the accuracy of the analyses. Care had to be taken in adding the 5%  $H_2O_2$  since the reaction between the  $H_2CrO_4$ and  $H_2O_2$  was rather vigorous. When air was drawn through the system at 8-10 bubbles per second and one drop of  $H_QO_Q$ solution added every two seconds, no difficulty was experienced in taking care of the Og formed.

When 5 ml. of  $1\%$  H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> was used, low results were probably caused by two factors. First, the dilution of the sulfuric-phosphoric acid was too great, and second, an insufficient amount of  $O_2$  was liberated. The concentration of  $H_2$ CrO<sub>4</sub> was great enough so that the  $H_2$ O<sub>2</sub> did not reduce all the chromate present.

 $-115 -$ 

### TABLE VI

The Effect of H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> on Rate of Oxidation

	Amount оf т	: bubbles per second	: Rate of CO <sub>2</sub> -free air ÷ : through apparatus in Substance : H <sub>2</sub> O <sub>2</sub> Added: Ist Part of: 2nd Part of: % Carbon* : Digestion : Digestion :	
Soil No. 1062	$5 m1 - 1%$	$3 - 4$	$12 - 15$	2,64
1062	$5 \text{ m1}_{\bullet}-1\%$	$3 - 4$	$8 - 10$	2.67
1062	$3 m1 - 5%$	$3 - 4$	$8 - 10$	2.68 2,70 2.70 2.69 2.70
1062	None	$2 - 3$	$8 - 10$	2.66 2.64
1062	None	$2 - 3$	$6 - 8$	2.68
1062	None	$2 - 3$	$4 - 6$	2.70
Coal No. 3** 3 ml.-5%		$3 - 4$	$10 - 12$	74.3 74.5
Coal No. 3**	None	$2 - 3$	$4 - 6$	72.4 73.7 (76, 4)

\*Soil data on air-dry basis; coal, on oven-dry basis.<br>\*\*Per cent carbon by dry combustion = 75.5; 1000-ml.<br>absorption flask was used.

#### IV. DISCUSSION

The apparatus shown in Fig. 1 was easily assembled and consisted of materials found in the average laboratory with the exception of the gas reservoir. However, the reservoir used may be replaced by a separatory funnel or leveling bottle by placing a three-way stopcock in the rubber stopper. The first few experiments were made with the gas being collected in an absorption tower, but a determination could not be completed in less than thirty to thirty-five minutes since the gas could not be drawn through the absorption tower more rapidly than 3 to 4 bubbles per second. When the rate of aspiration was increased, low results were obtained, presumably due to incomplete absorption in the tower. The substitution of an evacuated absorption flask for the tower made it possible to speed up the procedure by as much as ten minutes.

A 300-ml. Erlenmeyer flask was found to be too small for one-gram samples. A 500-ml. flask was found satisfactory for soil samples containing as much as 50 mg. carbon, but better results were obtained for coal when a liter absorption flask was used.

Mercury was originally used as the confining liquid in the reservoir, but it was replaced by a 3:1 glycerin

#### $-117 -$

solution since the latter was more easily handled, was eheaper and allowed the removal of chlorine without introducing a U-tube into the setup.

The oxidizing mixture must be prevented from coming in contact with any rubber connections. The use of a side arm distilling flask eliminated any objection to the use of a rubber stopper in the digestion flask.

During the first experiments the tube leading from the digestion flask to the reservoir was cooled by means of a water jacket, but later experiments showed this to be unnecessary if the tube was protected from the heat of the burner. It was desirable to have a bulb in this tube to prevent water from being carried into the reservoir.

The samples analyzed should contain between 10 and 30 mg. of carbon. When larger soil samples were used, the amount of potassium dichromate and sulfuric-phosphoric acid solution had to be Increased (see Table **II),** 

A 60t40 sulfuric-phosphoric acid solution was used for the analysis of soils. It was found that a  $60:30$ ratio liberated some SO<sub>2</sub> fumes on boiling and caused the results to be high. When no water was added, a  $50:50$ ratio was preferable since the chromic acid tended to decompose in the hot 60:40 solution. A higher temperature was obtained in the analysis of pure organic compounds and

**- 118 -**

coal than in the analysis of soils; therefore, it was necessary to dilute the acid solution with 3 to 5 ml. of water in order to prevent the decomposition of chromic acid. A 50:50 sulfuric-phosphoric acid solution would no doubt have been preferable to a 60t40 solution.

A GuO combustion unit was placed between the digestion flask and reservoir and heated to dull redness over a length of approximately 3 inchea. This was done to determine whether or not complete oxidation to CO<sub>2</sub> was being obtained. Results obtained with and without this unit were not appreciably different. It was thought that this treatment of the liberated gases might correct for the low results obtained when high percentages of chlorine were present. However, this did not take place.

The use of 2% KI in the 3:1 glycerin solution was a convenient means of removing chlorine. This solution was used rather than a U-tube absorption in order to keep the volume of the apparatus at a minimum. It was important to keep the volume of the apparatus as low as possible as the apparatus was not swept with CO<sub>2</sub>-free air before making a determination. The CO<sub>2</sub> initially present in the air contained in the system was assumed to be constant and corrected for in the blank determination.

Acetone served both to sharpen the end point of thymol blue Indicator and to indicate sufficient evacuation

**- 119 -**

of the absorption flask by causing the solution to boll. The standard Ba(OH)<sub>2</sub> solution was protected from CO<sub>2</sub> in the air by an ascarite filled CaCl<sub>2</sub> tube and drawn directly into a stoppered 100-ml. burette by suction. The Ba(0H)<sub>2</sub> was not standardized, but the amount used in each experiment and in the blank was the same, fhe difference in amount of standard HCl required to neutralize the Ba(OH) $_{\odot}$ in a blank and actual determination was equivalent to the amount of OOg absorbed.

The analyses listed in Table I were made without the use of H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> and required between twenty and twenty-five minutes for complete determination. It can be seen that the agreement with dry combustion data was good. The soil samples used were chosen because of the range in carbon content which they represented.

The results obtained on analyses of pure organic compounds agreed well with the theoretical per cent carbon contained. The analyses were carried out as directed for soil samples. Goal samples were not completely oxidized in the time allotted, the results being erratic and between  $5\%$  and  $10\%$  low. When a liter absorption flask was used and the time for the digestion increased by approximately 50%, the per cent carbon obtained was still lower than the dry oombustlon value. Mercury salts showed a catalytic effect on the oxidation of coal. Higher results

were obtained when mercury salts and a 500-ml. absorption flask were used than when a 1000-ml. absorption flask was used.

A sample of ground wood charcoal was analyzed in order to obtain an indication of the efficiency of the method in determining the charcoal present in burnt-over timberland soils. Carbon by dry combustion was not determined. Loss on ignition would be greater than the per cent carbon so that more than 90% of the charcoal carbon was oxidized to CO2 by this procedure.

The data presented in Table IV were not the anticipated results. Since  $Cl_{Q}$  reacts with  $Ba(OH)_{Q}$ , high results would be expected if all the chlorine formed was not removed. If the chlorine formed was efficiently removed, no change in results would be expected to take place. However, Shewan in Crowther's (15) report obtained low results on a saline soil by a wet oxidation method. In this case the chlorine was removed by passing the gases over hot powdered PbCrO<sub>4</sub>. Walkley (34) obtained increasingly lower results as the amount of chloride increased by both wet oxidation and dry combustion. Neither investigator attempted any explanation of the phenomenon. The low results obtained are difficult to explain unless chlorine acts as a negative catalyst In the reaction. There is some evidence of this since low results were

obtained tor two or three determinations after a sample containing NaCl was analyzed. It was noted that a red gas, probably CrOgClg, was evolved during the first part of the digestion but was apparently decomposed by higher temperatures since only a pale green gas was noted entering the reservoir. When the chlorine was not removed by KI, the sensitivity of the Indicator was reduced to a noticeable extent.

Although the amount of organic carbon in a soil eould be obtained by determining the carbonate carbon and subtracting this value from the total amount of carbon found by wet oxidation, it was felt that some more rapid means of determining organic carbon was desirable. It was found that by boiling the soil sample for one minute in  $5\%$   $H_2SO_4$  in the digestion flask any added CaCO<sub>3</sub> could be decomposed without loss of organic carbon. Only one calcareous soil, No. P50-10, was analyzed, but the result agreed with the per cent organic carbon obtained by subtracting carbonate carbon from the total carbon by dry combustion. It was thought advisable to add  $\texttt{FeSO}_4$ to the  $5\%$   $\text{H}_2\text{SO}_4$  to prevent oxidation by manganese oxides. Similar treatment with  $5\%$  H<sub>3</sub>PO<sub>4</sub> did not remove all the carbonates from Soil No. P50-10 nor from Soil No. 1062 to which CaCOg had been added.

More rapid oxidation was obtained with some phosphoric acids than with others although the sulfuric-phosphoric

**• 122 -**

acid ratio and the concentration of **the acids were the**  same. It appeared that as the purity of the acid decreased, the rate of the oxidation increased. Two acids which gave different rates of oxidation were analyzed spectrographically, but no appreciable difference **was found. It was**  thought that the difference might have been due to the acids containing different amounts of H<sub>2</sub>F<sub>2</sub>. Small amounts of H2F2 solution were added to **the reaction flask and**  caused the reaction to be accelerated for a number of determinations, but the catalytic effect wore off and could not be regained until several **determinations had**  been made without the addition of H<sub>2</sub>F<sub>2</sub>. This suggested that there was **a** catalytic effect due to **the glass of the**  digestion flask^ but this point could not **be confirmed.** 

The use of  $H_2O_2$  in the reaction was prompted by Degtjareff's (14) claim that complete oxidation could be obtained in one minute when  $H_2O_2$  was added to chromicsulfuric acid oxidizing solutions. Although Degtjareff's claim could not be substantiated, it was found that  $H_2O_2$ did increase the rate of oxidation. The  $H_QO_Q$  decomposed upon contact with the oxidizing solution with the liberation of Og. The liberation of Og In contact with the soil particles was thought to be the cause of the increased rate of oxidation. A  $1\%$   $H_QO_Q$  solution was not effective, but a 5% solution allowed the determination to be completed in approximately five minutes less time. Degtjareff's procedure was carried out in the apparatus shown in Fig. 1 and the CO<sub>2</sub> measured, but the CO<sub>2</sub> obtained was equivalent to only 81% oxidation.

#### **V. SUMMARY**

1. A wet oxidation method is described by which the total carbon present in soils and in pure organic compounds can be determined with a high degree of accuracy in twenty minutes.

2. Oxidation of the carbon was accomplished by  $K_2$ Cr<sub>2</sub>O<sub>7</sub> in a sulfuric-phosphoric acid solution. The CO<sub>2</sub> set free was absorbed by  $Ba(OH)_{2}$  in an evacuated flask and the excess titrated with standard HCl using thymol blue indicator,

3. A glycerin solution was used in place of mercury as a confining liquid for  $00_{\odot}$ .

4. Chlorides were the only substances found to interfere with the determination in soils. The procedure gave satisfactory results for soils containing less than **1%**  chlorine but gave low results for soils containing more than this amount of chlorine.

5. A solution of KI in the confining glycerin solution was found to be a convenient method for removing the chlorine liberated.

6. Carbonates were removed by boiling the soil in a solution containing  $5\%$  H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> and  $5\%$  FeSO<sub>4</sub> without loss of organic carbon.

 $-125$   $+$ 

7. The dropwise addition of 3 ml. of 5% H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> during the second part of the digestion made it possible to carry out a complete determination in less than twenty minutes.

8. Mercury salts had a catalytic effect in the determination of carbon in coal but had no noticeable effect on  $s$ oils.

 $\mathcal{L}$ 

## VI. LITERATURE CITED



 $\mathcal{L}_{\text{max}}$  , where  $\mathcal{L}_{\text{max}}$ 

 $\mathcal{A}$ 

 $\mathcal{A}^{\pm}$ 

 $\label{eq:2.1} \begin{split} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\frac$ 

- 19. Heck, Soil Sci., 28, 225-33 (1929).
- 20. Komarova, Proc. Leningrad Dept. Inst. Fert.,  $17$ , 29-44 (1933). Original not seen.  $\angle$  C. A., 28, 3160  $(1934)$ .
- 21. Nostitz, Bodenkunde u. Pflanzenernahr., 1, 95 (1936).
- 22. Novak and Pelisek, Chimie & Industrie,  $41$ , 773 (1938).
- 23. Partridge and Schroeder, Ind. Eng. Chem. Anal. Ed.,  $4$ ,  $271 - 83$  (1932).
- 24. Puri, Soil Sci., 44, 323 (1937).
- 25. Purvis and Higson, Ind. Eng. Chem. Anal. Ed., 11, **19-20** (1939).
- 26. Robinson, McLean and Williams, J. Agr. Sci., 19, 315-24 (1929).
- 27. Rogers and Rogers, Am. J. Sci.,  $\sqrt{2}$ , 5, 352 (1848).
- 28. Schollenberger, J. Ind. Eng. Chem., 8, 1126 (1916).
- 29. , Soil Sci., 24, 65-8 (1927).
- 30. Subrahmanyan, Madras Agr. J., 24, 177-83 (1936). Original not seen.  $\angle$  C. A., 30, 6107 (1936).
- 31. Subrahmanyan, Naranyanayya and Bhagvat, J. Indian  $Int. Scf.$ ,  $17A$ ,  $197-215$  (1934). Original not seen. **/ C.'A:: 'B9.~gl9 (1935J7,**
- 32. Tiurin, Trans. 3rd Intern. Congr. Soil Sci. (Oxford,  $1935)$ ,  $1$ ,  $111-2$  (1935).
- 33. **Dokuchaev Soil Inst. (U.S.S.R.)**, 1935, p. 139-
- 34. Walkley, J. Agr. Sci., 25, 598-609 (1935).
- 35. Walkley and Black, Soil Sci., 37, 29-38 (1934).
- 36. Warrington and Peake, J. Chem. Soc. (London), 37, 617-25 (1880).
- 37. White and Holben, Ind. Eng. Chem., 17, 83-5 (1925).

### ACKNOWLEDGMENT

The author acknowladges with sincere appreciation the suggestions and assistance of Dr. Norman A. Clark during the course of these investigations.